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Abstract

The widespread use of third generation (3G) cellular communications networks will revolutionize the way people communicate with each other and the manner in which large and medium-size companies share their information and make businesses. The most promising 3G technology, the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) standard, offers advanced services, high transmission data rates (384 Kbps – 2 Mbps) and an advanced security architecture based on a mutual authentication protocol, a confidentiality algorithm (f8), an integrity algorithm (f9) and a block ciphering algorithm (KASUMI). This dissertation meets the problem of efficiently implementing the algorithms aforementioned and proposes a novel solution scheme that achieves higher performance than the two traditional solution approaches: software implementation in a general purpose microprocessor and the use of an external coprocessor unit. The contributions of this project to its state of the art are multifold. First, four different high performance hardware functional units implementing the KASUMI block cipher, one of them reaching the highest throughput reported so far. Second, the integration of one of such functional units into the microarchitecture of a general purpose processor and the definition of new instructions to perform encryption. Thus, the new solution approach is a combination of hardware and software.
Resumen

El empleo cada vez más difundido de las redes celulares de comunicación de tercera generación (3G) promete revolucionar la manera en que la gente se comunica y la forma en que las compañías, grandes y medianas, comparten su información y realizan actividades de negocios. El estándar de tercera generación más prometedor es UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) y ofrece una gama de servicios avanzados, tasas de transmisión elevadas (384 Kbps – 2 Mbps) y una arquitectura de seguridad avanzada que cuenta con un protocolo para autenticación mutua, un algoritmo para confidencialidad \( (f8) \), un algoritmo para integridad \( (f9) \) y un algoritmo para cifrado de bloques \( (KASUMI) \). El presente documento trata el problema de implantar de forma eficiente los algoritmos mencionados anteriormente y propone un esquema de solución original que alcanza un mayor desempeño que los enfoques tradicionales: implantación en software para procesadores de propósito general y el uso de unidades coprocesadoras externas al procesador central. Son varias las contribuciones de este trabajo al estado del arte. Primero, un conjunto de unidades funcionales en hardware que implantan el algoritmo KASUMI, una de ellas con el más alto desempeño reportado a la fecha. Segundo, un mecanismo de integración de una de las unidades funcionales a la microarquitectura de un procesador con el fin de proporcionar instrucciones para cifrado. De esta manera, la nueva solución es una combinación de las bondades tanto de hardware y software.
Acknowledgments

I am indebted with Dr. René Cumplido for his guidance and the time he devoted to this project, from its beginning to its completion. Thanks for those valuable advices, his support in every sense and his faith in this project as well.

I am grateful to Dr. Claudia Feregrino, Dr. Miguel Arias and Dr. Rodrigo Montúfar for having revised this manuscript, for their suggestions to improve it further and for their comments during the public defense of this work.

I am very thankful for the support provided by every single member of INAOE’s community, from the highest authorities to the library employees, during the years I have stayed here. Thanks to the colleagues I contacted to solve doubts and ask for further information and to CINVESTAV’s library of electrical engineering for contributing to our project with books.

Thanks a lot to CONACyT, the Mexican Council for Science and Technology, for having sponsored my graduate studies, and the development of this research, through the grant 171498.
## Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 The importance of security measures ........................................ 5
1.2 The implementation challenge .................................................. 6
1.3 Summary of objectives ......................................................... 7
1.4 Methodology ................................................................. 8
1.5 Dissertation overview ......................................................... 9

2 Background

2.1 Introduction to cellular communications systems ....................... 11
2.2 Evolution of cellular communications systems ............................ 12
2.3 IMT-2000 ........................................................................... 13
   2.3.1 Objectives .................................................................... 13
   2.3.2 Spectrum bands ............................................................ 15
2.4 UMTS ................................................................................. 17
   2.4.1 Organization .................................................................. 17
   2.4.2 Important components .................................................... 18
2.5 UMTS’ security architecture ...................................................... 19
   2.5.1 Network access security features ....................................... 20
   2.5.2 UMTS Authentication and Key Agreement ......................... 22
   2.5.3 Integrity ....................................................................... 24
   2.5.4 Confidentiality ............................................................... 26
   2.5.5 The KASUMI block cipher ............................................... 27
2.6 Security issues for 2G and 2.5G networks .................................... 31
### CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6.1</td>
<td>The KGCORE function</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6.2</td>
<td>The A5/3 algorithm for GSM</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6.3</td>
<td>The GEA3 algorithm for GPRS</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 State of the art

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Implementation of the KASUMI block cipher</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1</td>
<td>Iterative and reuse-based designs</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2</td>
<td>Pipelined designs</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.3</td>
<td>KASUMI soft core</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Implementations of the key scheduler</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Implementations of the $f_8$ and $f_9$ algorithms</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Analysis of the implementations of the KASUMI block cipher</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Implementation of the GEA3 algorithm</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>The MPC185 security coprocessor</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6.1</td>
<td>Description of the coprocessor</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6.2</td>
<td>The KASUMI execution unit</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 Problem statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>The software approach</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>The coprocessor approach</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Solution proposal</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 Novel proposals to implement KASUMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Reuse-based designs</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1</td>
<td>Reuse-based architecture 1</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1.1</td>
<td>Datapath for the FO function</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1.2</td>
<td>Datapath for the FI function</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1.3</td>
<td>Datapath for the round logic</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1.4</td>
<td>The key scheduler</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2</td>
<td>Reuse-based architecture 2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2.1</td>
<td>Joining two FO function components</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2.2</td>
<td>Assembling the components</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2.3</td>
<td>The key scheduler</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTENTS

B List of acronyms 126
Chapter 1

Introduction

Nowadays millions of people around the world rely on mobile cellular telephones to communicate with their relatives and friends, to engage in business conversations, to carry out journalistic activities, and much more. The nature of the information that flows throughout the cellular communications networks has evolved noticeably since the early years of the first generation (1G) systems, when only voice sessions were possible. With today’s third generation networks it is possible to transmit both voice and data, including e-mail, pictures, video and more.

The third generation standards, like the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System considered for this work, enable the implementation of sophisticated services and the transmission of information at data rates ranging from 384 kbps to 2 Mbps. The increasing number of network operators interested in implementing this standard and in getting licenses from governments to use its frequency band allows to foresee that UMTS will be the most widely used network technology in the near future.

1.1 The importance of security measures

The importance of the security issues is higher in third generation cellular networks than in previous systems because the users are provided with the mechanisms to accomplish very crucial operations like banking transactions and sharing of confidential business information, which require high levels of protection. Weaknesses in the
security architecture allow successful eavesdropping, message tampering and masquerading attacks to occur, with disastrous consequences for end users, companies and other organizations.

Not only does the UMTS standard provide advanced communications services, it also includes the means to guarantee high levels of confidentiality and integrity of information as well as the authentication of each entity engaged in a communications session. The answer to the security challenge is the development of a sophisticated mutual authentication protocol [2], the confidentiality algorithm [4, 33], the integrity algorithm [4, 33] and a modern block cipher called KASUMI [5, 33].

UMTS’ modern security architecture mends the security flaws present in previous generation systems. As an example of these weaknesses consider the A5/1 encryption algorithm present in the second generation (2G) Global System for Mobile communications (GSM) standard during its early years [28]. The work reported in [13] concerns two attack schemes to A5/1 that require different time-memory tradeoffs and compute the ciphering key on a personal computer; they only need some minutes or seconds of sampled output data and a short processing time.

1.2 The implementation challenge

Once the security algorithms are fully defined and specified, the problem of designing efficient implementation methods arises. An implementation that consumes too much resources or that takes too much time when performing its task is not very useful. Additionally, the following requirements must be met when the algorithms are realized in practice: small silicon area, high throughput and low power consumption. The task of designing to achieve a good tradeoff of these requirements might be as challenging as choosing the best security algorithms to use. This dissertation explores a solution strategy to the problem of the efficient implementation of the security functions for third generation cellular networks.

There are two choices to accomplish the task. The first alternative is a pure software solution, i.e. coding the operations as a sequence of instructions defined by the Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) of a general purpose microprocessor or
Digital Signal Processor (DSP). The second choice consists of designing a custom coprocessor, either as a dedicated Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) or as a reconfigurable Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA), that communicates with a central processor through a system bus and provides a set of security services on demand.

The software approach is unable to reach very high performance, mainly because of the overhead introduced by the instruction decoding process and the stalls in which the processor’s pipeline incurs during instruction execution. In addition, the length of the operands required by the security functions does not agree with the word length of modern general purpose processors. The main drawback of the coprocessor alternative is the degradation of performance due to the great number of cycles consumed in bus operations, including service requests and transfers of data to and from memory.

On the basis that an algorithm implemented in hardware achieves higher performance than the corresponding software codification and that software is such a flexible method to solve problems, this work proposes a novel approach to address the problem of implementing the confidentiality and integrity algorithms efficiently by means of a combination of hardware and software modules. At a glance, the experimentation work is based on the hypothesis that it is possible to implement in hardware the most performance demanding component of both the f8 and f9 algorithms, i.e. the KASUMI block cipher; attach this hardware module as a functional unit to a general purpose processor, extending its instruction set to exploit the new hardware, and then build the whole algorithms in software. As a result, a considerable reduction in the size of code and the number of clock cycles required is obtained and the system bus is freed from encryption service requests, being used only to transfer data to and from memory.

1.3 Summary of objectives

This dissertation tackles the problem of efficiently implementing the security algorithms specified for UMTS-like third generation cellular communications networks. The solution to this problem is the general objective of this work, which is motivated
by the need for mechanisms that achieve higher levels of security during the trans-
mmission of information and their corresponding high performance implementations.

To solve the problem this work proposes a novel approach consisting of integrating
a cryptographic functional unit into a general purpose processor, thus combining the
advantages of pure software and pure hardware solutions. If this integration is feasible
is an important question this project intends to answer.

The specific objectives are:

• To conceive some design techniques to develop compact and high performance
  hardware implementations of the KASUMI block cipher among which the most
  suitable to be attached to a processor is chosen.

• To design a customized RISC processor that includes extensions to support the
  execution of the KASUMI block cipher and can be included in equipment for
  3G networks.

• To define a set of extended instructions that exploit the new KASUMI functional
  unit.

1.4 Methodology

The following is an enumeration of the steps to accomplish the goals and solve the
problem:

1. Thorough investigation of the foundations of cellular communications systems
   and the features of the successive generations that have come up, with special
   emphasis on 3G networks and the UMTS standard.

2. Deep study of the confidentiality, integrity and encryption algorithms lying at
   the core of UMTS’ security architecture, as well as other mechanisms that fulfill
   additional security requirements.

3. Study and understanding of the techniques to implement the security algorithms
   in hardware proposed previously by different researchers. An analysis of their
   advantages and disadvantages is also performed.
4. Design of different hardware architectures based on some design strategies devised and their synthesis to a reconfigurable hardware platform. The module that integrates with the processor core shall be selected among these proposals.

5. Selection of the processor core based on specific requirements.

6. Addition of the new functional unit to the processor core, extension of the instruction set and comparision with a compiled program.

1.5 Dissertation overview

The work reported in this document represents the first step of a more ambitious project towards the identification of computationally expensive processes present in third generation cellular networks and the development of high performance functional units that carry out such processes.

The rest of this dissertation is organized as follows:

• Chapter 2 provides introductory information about cellular communications technologies and a description of the UMTS standard and its security architecture, including a review of the $f_8$, $f_9$ and KASUMI algorithms.

• Chapter 3 is a revision of the proposals published previously to implement in hardware the security functions contained in UMTS' security architecture. A thorough critical analysis of the state of the art is provided as well.

• Chapter 4 deals with the problem of the efficient implementation of the security functions in depth. This chapter describes the deficiencies of the software and the coprocessor approaches and provides evidence of them.

• Chapter 5 describes the first phase of the development of the project: the design of a high performance functional unit for the KASUMI block cipher. This chapter presents the set of basic design techniques conceived to build the different proposals.
• Chapter 6 describes the scheme conceived to integrate the KASUMI functional unit into a MIPS-based general purpose processor core, the extensions to the instruction set and the results obtained when implementing the customized processor in a reconfigurable hardware platform.

• Chapter 7 summarizes the contributions and results of this project and describes future work.
Chapter 2

Background

This chapter provides the reader with the information needed to understand the rest of the dissertation. Topics like cellular communications systems, the evolution of cellular communications technology, and modern proposals and trends are covered briefly. The emphasis of this chapter is on the features of the security architecture of modern third generation cellular networks; as well as in the algorithms contained within this architecture.

2.1 Introduction to cellular communications systems

A cellular communications system is a special kind of wireless system which uses part of the radio spectrum to transmit information, and has the following features:

**Frequency reuse:** The whole coverage area is divided into several smaller areas, called *cells*, in such a way that some transmission frequencies are used across a cell, or set of cells, and reused for another set of cells, located far away, with little potential for interference.

**Mobility/Roaming:** Subscribers are able to move freely around the network they are subscribed to, and from this to another one. This feature requires that the
network tracks the location of each subscriber in an accurate way to deliver calls and messages properly.

**Handoff/Handover:** The subscriber’s transmission commutes from one radio channel to another as the user moves from one cell to another while engaged in a conversation.

### 2.2 Evolution of cellular communications systems

The first generation of cellular communications services was launched in the late 1970s. The networks that provided those services were based on analog transmission channels. In spite of its great success, the technology employed during this stage of evolution had the following drawbacks: limited capacity, lack of means to guarantee high levels of security, and interference proneness. In addition, the main purpose of these analog systems was to enable voice sessions only, through the use of circuit-switched (dedicated) resources. The most important standards developed during this period are: Advanced Mobile Phone Services (AMPS), used in the United States, Nordic Mobile Telephony (NMT), deployed in Scandinavia, and Total Access Communications System (TACS), mainly developed in UK.

When operators realized that the first generation systems already deployed were not enough to meet the requirements of a growing market, and that there were several security weaknesses, new attempts were made to mend the flaws. Unlike first generation networks, second generation systems are based on digital signaling and offer important advantages, like greater reliability, enhanced security, greater network capacity, and the expansion of the range of applications to include services like called line identification, short message service and fax. Even though the network standards proposed during this stage of evolution were also based on circuit-switched technologies, they are able to exchange information at data rates ranging from 9.6 Kbps to 14.4 Kbps. These standards were launched in early 1990s and are still in use all around the world; some have been successfully implemented in several countries, whereas others have not been successful enough to be implemented out of the country in which they were developed. The list of second generation network standards
include: Global System for Mobile communications, Personal Digital Cellular (PDC), and Interim Standard 95 Code-Division Multiple Access (IS-95 CDMA).

Some enhancements were carried out to the existing 2G networks to implement several Internet-based services and provide similar capabilities than those planned for third generation systems. These upgrades make up the second generation + (2.5G) of cellular communications networks, which increases data rates by adding components devoted to transmit data as IP packets, both within the home public mobile network (PLMN) and between the PLMN and external packet-based networks like Internet and private virtual networks (PVN). The General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) standard is an overlay to GSM that adds a packet-switched domain whose goal is the implementation of data services at higher data rates: 171 Kbps theoretical and 40 Kbps–53 Kbps practical. A further improvement to the GSM-GPRS pair is the Enhanced Data rates for Global Evolution (EDGE) technology, which elevates the data rates even more, up to 384 Kbps, and reuses the GSM’s resources for radio transmission. Finally, the High-Speed Circuit-Switched Data (HSCSD) technology is intended to increase the data rate in a circuit-switched network to enhance its data transmission capabilities.

2.3 IMT-2000

Everything that 3G is intended to be is well established in the International Mobile Telecommunications-2000 (IMT-2000) specification [19], defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU). This document is meant to be a unifying specification comprising multiple technologies covering a number of frequency bands, channel bandwidths, modulation formats and network organizations.

2.3.1 Objectives

The following is a list of the general objectives IMT-2000 aims to achieve:

1. To make available to mobile users a wide range of voice and data services, irrespective of their location.
2. To provide services over a wide coverage area.

3. To provide the best quality of service (QoS) possible.

4. To extend the number of services provided subject to constraints like radio transmission, spectrum efficiency and system economics.

5. To accommodate a great variety of mobile stations.

6. To admit the provision of service by more than one network in any area of coverage.

7. To provide an open architecture which will permit the easy introduction of technology advancements as well as different applications.

8. To provide a modular structure which will allow the system to start from a small and simple configuration and grow as needed, both in size and complexity, within practical limits.

The specification establishes some other operational objectives that are worth mentioning:

1. To implement adequate schemes for user authentication, unique user identification, unique user numbering and unique equipment identification.

2. To enable each mobile user to request particular services as well as initiate and receive calls. Multiple simultaneous calls are allowed, which might be associated to different services either voice or data.

3. To minimize the opportunity for fraud by restricting some services which are prone to fraud.

4. To protect users against misuse of stolen mobile stations by maintaining a list of the identities of the stations, as well as monitoring their traffic.

5. To aid emergency services by providing, as far as possible, useful information along with the emergency call: user identity, location information and other information that might be needed for local authorities.
6. To support user mobility by allowing registration on different terminals. This can be accomplished by providing users with individual Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) smart cards.

7. To allow international operation and automatic roaming of mobile subscribers and their stations.

8. To provide service to a variety of mobile stations ranging from those which are small enough to be easily carried by a person to those which are mounted in a vehicle.

9. To provide high speed packet data rates:
   - 2 Mbps for fixed environments.
   - 384 Kbps for pedestrians.
   - 144 Kbps for vehicular traffic.

2.3.2 Spectrum bands

The total spectrum band assigned to IMT-2000 by ITU is made up of the 1885 MHz–2025 MHz band and the 2110 MHz–2200 MHz band, as shown in figure 2.1. Notice that the spectrum allocation is quite similar in Europe and Japan, whereas in the United States the second generation systems use great part of such spectrum. As a consequence, American network operators will need to gradually replace their existing infrastructure with third generation technologies.

The most important radio transmission technology that fulfills IMT-2000’s requirements is the Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (UTRA) radio interface, which has been considered compatible with IMT-2000 since November 1999. The UTRA interface is based on the Wideband-CDMA (WCDMA) technology and operates in two modes: Frequency Division Duplex (FDD) and Time Division Duplex (TDD). It has received support both from the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) and the Association of Radio industries and Businesses (ARIB).
Figure 2.1: Spectrum allocation for IMT-2000 and MSS (Mobile Satellite Service) in several countries.
2.4 UMTS

When it was signed and formalized, on December 1998, the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) collaboration agreement gave rise to a standardization organization which currently comprises several telecommunications standards bodies or Organizational Partners. The goal of this organization is to produce specifications for the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System, a third generation network based on two important technologies: the UTRA radio interface and the GSM-GPRS network. Later, the organization’s scope was extended to include the maintenance and further development of technical specifications for GSM, GPRS and EDGE.

2.4.1 Organization

A UMTS network is logically divided into two parts, which are referred to with the generic terms Core Network (CN) and Generic Radio Access Network (GRAN) [1, 23, 31, 32]. The CN reuses several elements already present in the GSM-GPRS network; it consists of two overlapped domains: the Circuit-Switched (CS) domain and the Packet-Switched (PS) domain. The CS domain comprises the entities that allocate dedicated resources for user and control traffic at the start of a session, and release those resources at the end of the session. The entities in the PS domain transport user data in the form of autonomous IP packets, which are routed independently of each other. The CN allows the user to set up a connection to and from external packet-based networks, public switched telephone networks (PSTN) and other wireless networks. The UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) is UMTS’ implementation of the GRAN concept; it is based on the UTRA radio interface, and performs functions like: management of radio resources, power control both in the downlink and the uplink direction, handoff management and allocation of channels for transmission.

Figure 2.2 shows a simplified organization of a UMTS network, according to the 3GPP specifications in Release 99. The diagram shows the CN, the UTRAN, the mobile stations, the components of the CS and PS domains, the interfaces that link the components to each other and the external networks the UMTS system can com-
Figure 2.2: Basic architecture of a UMTS mobile network (Release 99) (from [32]).

municate with. Later 3GPP specifications, like those in Release 5, propose a different organization: the all-IP multimedia network. The main feature of this architecture is that both voice and data are transported over IP packets all the way from the mobile station to final destination, thanks to the addition of a new domain in the core network: the IP-Multimedia domain (IM).

### 2.4.2 Important components

The following is a description of some of the network components present in figure 2.2, which is required to understand the security features included in the UMTS proposal:

**Home Location Register (HLR):** This module stores data related to each subscriber of the service provided by the home network. There are two kinds of information in a HLR entry: permanent and temporary. Permanent data does not change unless a subscription parameter is required to be modified. Temporary data change continuously, even from call to call, and some items might not always be necessary. Permanent data include an authentication key. A mobile
network can have several HLRs depending on the size of its coverage area.

**Visitor Location Register (VLR):** The VLR holds information related to every mobile station that roams into the area serviced by the associated MSC. It contains information about the active subscribers in the corresponding serving network, even those to whom the network is not their home network. When a subscriber roams into a serving network, the information in his/her HLR is copied to the VLR in the visited network, and discarded when the subscriber leaves such network. The information stored by the VLR is quite the same as that stored by the HLR.

**Authentication Center (AuC):** Physically attached to a HLR, this component stores, for each subscriber, an authentication key $K$ and the corresponding International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI), which are permanent data entered at subscription time. The AuC plays a crucial role in the network’s security architecture, since it is responsible of the generation of important data used during the authentication and encryption procedures.

**Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN):** This component is responsible for the mobility management and IP packet session management. It routes user packet traffic from the radio access network to the appropriate Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN), which in turn provides access to external packet data networks. In addition, it generates records to be used by other modules for charging purposes. SGSN helps to control access to network resources, preventing unauthorized access to the network or specific services and applications. The IuPS interface links the SGSN, the main component of the PS domain, with the Radio Network Controller (RNC) in the UTRAN, as figure 2.2 illustrates.

### 2.5 UMTS’ security architecture

According to the specifications, the security architecture is made up of a set of *security features* and *security mechanisms* [2]. A security feature is a service capability that meets one or several security requirements. A security mechanism is an element or
process that is used to carry out a security feature. Figure 2.3 shows that the security features are grouped together in five different sets of features, each one facing a specific threat and accomplishing certain security objectives. The following is a description of these groups of features:

**Network access security (I):** Provides secure access to 3G services and protects against attacks on the radio interface link.

**Network domain security (II):** Allows nodes in the operator’s network to securely exchange signaling data and protects against attacks on the wired network.

**User domain security (III):** Secures access to mobile stations.

**Application domain security (IV):** Enables applications in the user and in the provider domain to securely exchange messages.

**Visibility and configurability of security (V):** Allows the user to get information about what security features are in operation or not, and whether provision of a service depends on the activation of a security feature or not.

An exhaustive study of the literature revealed that some of the mechanisms that carry out the set of network access security features require the execution of algorithmic processes with the highest performance possible.

### 2.5.1 Network access security features

Network access security features can be further classified into the following categories: entity authentication, confidentiality and data integrity. The following is a description of the security features classified into the category of entity authentication:

**User authentication:** The Serving Network (SN in figure 2.3), the network that provides the service to the user, corroborates the identity of the Mobile Equipment (ME).
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Figure 2.3: Overview of the security architecture (from [2]).

Network authentication: The user corroborates that he/she is connecting to a serving network that is authorized by the user’s Home Network (HE in figure 2.3) to provide him/her with the service; this includes the guarantee that this authorization is recent.

The following security features deal with the confidentiality of data along the network access link:

Cipher algorithm agreement: The mobile station and the serving network can securely negotiate the algorithm that they shall use subsequently.

Cipher key agreement: The mobile equipment and the serving network agree on a cipher key that they may use subsequently.

Confidentiality of user data: User data can not be overheard on the radio interface.

Confidentiality of signaling data: Signaling data can not be overheard on the radio interface.

The features provided to achieve integrity of data on the network access link are the following:
**Integrity algorithm agreement:** The mobile equipment and the serving network can securely negotiate the integrity algorithm that they shall use subsequently.

**Integrity key agreement:** The mobile station and the serving network agree on an integrity key that they may use subsequently.

**Data integrity and origin authentication of signaling data:** The receiving entity (mobile station or serving network) is able to verify that signaling has not been modified in an unauthorized way since it was transmitted by the sending entity (serving network or mobile equipment) and that the origin of the signaling data received is indeed the one claimed.

### 2.5.2 UMTS Authentication and Key Agreement

The UMTS Authentication and Key Agreement (UMTS AKA) is a security mechanism used to accomplish the authentication and key agreement features described above. This mechanism is based on a challenge/response authentication protocol conceived to be compatible with GSM’s subscriber authentication and key establishment protocol to facilitate the transition from GSM to UMTS. A challenge/response protocol is a security measure in which an entity verifies the identity of another entity without revealing a secret password shared by the two entities. The key concept is that each entity must prove to the other that it knows the password without actually revealing or transmitting such password.

The UMTS AKA process is invoked by a SN after a first registration of a user, after a service request, after a location update request, after an attach request and after a detach request or connection re-establishment request. In addition, the relevant information about the user must be transferred from the user’s home network to the serving network to complete the process. The home network’s HLR/AuC provides the serving network’s VLR/SGSN with Authentication Vectors (AVs).

The authentication and key agreement process is summarized in the following algorithm and illustrated in figure 2.4:

**Stage 1:**
Figure 2.4: Authentication and key agreement security mechanism (from [2]).
1. The visited network’s VLR/SGSN requests a set of AVs from the HLR/AuC in the user’s home network.

2. The HLR/AuC computes an array of AVs. This is done by means of the authentication algorithms and the user’s private secret key $K$, which is stored only in the home network’s HLR/AuC and the USIM in the user’s mobile station.

3. The home network’s HLR/AuC responds by sending $n$ authentication vectors $AV_1, \ldots, AV_n$ back to the visited network’s VLR/SGSN.

Stage 2:

1. The visited network’s VLR/SGSN chooses one AV and challenges mobile station’s USIM by sending the RAND and AUTN fields in the vector to it.

2. The mobile station’s USIM processes the AUTN. With the aid of the private secret key $K$, the user is able to verify that the received challenge data could only have been constructed by someone who had access to the same secret key $K$. The USIM will also verify that the AV has not expired by checking its sequence number (SEQ) field. Provided that the network can be authenticated and that the AV is still valid, the USIM proceeds to generate a confidentiality key ($CK$), an integrity key ($IK$) and a response for the network (RES).

3. The user equipment responds with RES to the visited network.

4. The visited network’s VLR/SGSN verifies that response is correct by comparing the expected response (XRES) from the current AV with the response (RES) received from the mobile station’s USIM.

2.5.3 Integrity

Since the control signaling information transmitted between the mobile station and the network is so important and sensitive, its integrity must be protected. The mechanism that carries out this security feature is based on an UMTS Integrity Algorithm (UIA) implemented both in the mobile station and in the module of the UTRAN closer to the core network, i.e. the RNC. See figure 2.2.
Figure 2.5: Derivation of message authentication codes from signaling information using the $f9$ algorithm (from [4]).

The UIA explained in this subsection is the $f9$ algorithm, shown in figure 2.5. The procedure of signaling data integrity verification in the uplink direction consists of four steps. First, the $f9$ algorithm in the user equipment computes a 32-bit message authentication code for integrity of signaling data (MAC-I) based on its input parameters, including the signaling data (MESSAGE). Second, the MAC-I computed is attached to the signaling information and sent over the radio interface from the user equipment to the RNC. Third, the RNC computes an expected message authentication code value (XMAC-I) once it has received the signaling data and the MAC-I, in the same way as the mobile station computed MAC-I. Fourth, the integrity of the signaling information is determined by comparing the MAC-I and the XMAC-I. The process of integrity verification in the downlink direction is the same.

Figure 2.6 shows that the internal structure of the $f9$ algorithm uses the shared integrity key $IK$ and it is based on a series of KASUMI block ciphering modules interconnected in a variant of the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode [16]. The algorithm combines the 64-bit intermediate outputs of all of the block ciphers by using XOR operations, and, lastly, applies the KASUMI algorithm to this sum. The 64-bit output of this final process is truncated to 32 bits to obtain the MAC-I value.

A thorough description of the parameters of the $f9$ algorithm is provided in [4] and [33].
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2.5.4 Confidentiality

Unlike the integrity algorithm, which only operates on signaling information, the confidentiality mechanism operates on both signaling information and user data. The algorithm defined to perform the confidentiality tasks is called $f_8$.

The confidentiality process carried out by the $f_8$ algorithm in the uplink direction consists of five steps. First, using the ciphering key $CK$, and some other parameters, the $f_8$ algorithm in the user equipment computes an output keystream block. Second, this output keystream block is XORed, bit by bit, with the data stream, also called plaintext, to obtain a ciphered data block or ciphertext. Third, the ciphertext is sent to the network through the radio interface. Fourth, the $f_8$ algorithm in the RNC uses the parameters employed by the user equipment, including the shared cipher key $CK$, to generate the same output keystream that was computed in the user equipment. Finally, this keystream is XORed with the ciphertext received to recover the initial information. Figure 2.7 illustrates the procedure, which is the same for the downlink case.

Figure 2.8 illustrates the structure of the $f_8$ algorithm. Once again, notice that several instances of the KASUMI block cipher are present, this time organized in a so
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Figure 2.7: Ciphering of user and signaling data using the \textit{f8} algorithm (from [4]).

called Output Feedback (OFB) mode [16]. Each block cipher generates 64 bits of the whole output keystream and forwards its output to the input of the following block cipher, subject to its modification by a XOR operation with a counter and a static value.

The input parameter \textsc{LENGTH} indicates the length of both the keystream and the plaintext stream. The parameter \textsc{BEARER} identifies to each radio bearer among those associated with each user; this input value avoids the use of the same keystream for encryption/decryption in every radio bearer. For more information refer to [4] and [33].

2.5.5 The KASUMI block cipher

Not only was the KASUMI block cipher adopted by the 3GPP as the cornerstone of the operations involved in the security architecture defined for the third generation UMTS standard, but also of those in the security architectures for the evolved second generation GSM standard, and the second generation + GPRS standard. Therefore, KASUMI is the main primitive of the \textit{f8} confidentiality algorithm, the \textit{f9} integrity algorithm, and the A5/3 and GEA3 encryption/decryption algorithms [8].

KASUMI’s specifications were developed based on previous work carried out for MISTY [25], an algorithm that has proven its security against the most advanced cryptanalysis techniques and is suitable for hardware implementation. KASUMI has
a Feistel structure comprising eight rounds, operates on 64-bit data blocks, its processing is controlled by a 128-bit encryption key $K$, and has the following additional features derived from its Feistel nature [5]:

- Input plaintext is the input to the first round.
- Ciphertext is the last round’s output.
- $K$ is used to generate a set of round keys $\{KL_i, KO_i, KI_i\}$ for each round $i$.
- Each round computes a different function as long as the input round keys are different.
- The same algorithm is used both for encryption and decryption.

Figure 2.9 shows the structure and components of the KASUMI block cipher. For odd rounds the round-function is computed by applying the FL function followed by the FO function. For even rounds the FO function is applied before FL. FL, shown in figure 2.9(d), is a 32-bit function made up of simple AND, OR, XOR and left rotation operations. FO, see figure 2.9(b), is also a 32-bit function having a three-round Feistel organization which contains one FI block per round. FI, see figure 2.9(c), is a nonlinear 16-bit function having itself a four-round Feistel structure; it is made up of two
nine-bit substitution boxes (S-boxes), each called S9, and two seven-bit S-boxes, each called S7. Figure 2.9(c) shows that data in the FI function flow along two different paths: a nine-bit long path (thick lines) and a seven-bit path (thin lines). Notice that in Feistel structures, such as the one used in this algorithm, each round’s output is twisted before being applied as input to the following round. After completing eight rounds KASUMI produces a 64-bit long ciphertext block corresponding to the plaintext input block.

The key scheduler receives the 128-bit initial input key $K$ and generates the round keys $KL$ (32-bit long), $KO$ (48-bit long) and $KI$ (48-bit long) for each of the eight rounds. Each of these round keys is made up of two or three 16-bit subkeys, which are the ones directly computed by the key scheduler in the fashion shown in table 2.1.

The diagrams in figure 2.9 illustrate how these subkeys are used within each of the function modules within the rounds. The initial key $K$ is also split into eight 16-bit values $K_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$.

In table 2.1 “$\ll$” means a left rotation by the number of bits specified by the number to the right, and each $K'_i$ is defined as follows:

$$K'_i = K_i \oplus C_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 8;$$

where $C_i$ is a constant specified in [5].
Figure 2.9: The KASUMI block cipher.
2.6 Security issues for 2G and 2.5G networks

As mentioned previously, the 3GPP consortium currently has the responsibility of the maintenance of the set of specifications for GSM and GPRS, including those for the A5/3 and GEA3 stream ciphers. Both of these algorithms are built around a common function module called KG-CORE, which is based on the KASUMI block cipher.

2.6.1 The KG-CORE function

The organization of the KG-CORE, illustrated in figure 2.10, function is identical to the organization of the $f8$ stream cipher. As in $f8$, the KASUMI instances are connected in an OFB mode, where the feedback data are modified by a static value and a 64-bit counter.

KG-CORE has seven input parameters (CA, CB, CC, CD, CE, CK and CL) with variable lengths, and one output (CO). The A5/3 and GEA3 algorithms are defined by appropriately mapping their inputs to the KG-CORE module’s inputs, and KG-CORE’s output to the algorithm’s outputs.
CHAPTER 2. BACKGROUND

2.6.2 The A5/3 algorithm for GSM

The A5/3 algorithm generates two 114-bits keystream blocks; one is employed for the encryption and decryption processes in the uplink direction, and the other in for encryption and decryption in the downlink direction. Figure 2.11 shows the input and output parameters of the A5/3 algorithm and the information passed to the KGCORE function block.

2.6.3 The GEA3 algorithm for GPRS

The GEA3 algorithm generates an M-byte keystream block, where M is variable that never exceeds $2^{16} = 65536$. Figure 2.12 shows the input parameters of the GEA3 algorithm and their mapping to the inputs of the KGCORE function module. For this algorithm, KGCORE’s CO output has a length, in bits, of eight times the value of the integer M.

More information about KGCORE, A5/3 and GEA3 can be found in references [8] and [33].

Figure 2.11: The A5/3 stream cipher (from [8]).
Figure 2.12: The GEA3 stream cipher (from [8]).
Chapter 3

State of the art

This chapter describes the strategies proposed in the past to design hardware modules for the KASUMI block cipher and the \( f_8 \), \( f_9 \) and GEA3 algorithms. The results obtained after implementing those architectures in FPGA technology are provided as well. This chapter includes a section that analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of the previous proposals and deduces a set of requirements and useful design strategies from the discussion. The last section of this chapter describes the Motorola MPC185 security coprocessor, which includes a functional unit (FU) to perform the \( f_8 \) and \( f_9 \) algorithms.

3.1 Implementation of the KASUMI block cipher

Several principles to implement a Feistel block cipher in hardware are described in [14]. The first choice is to implement only a small number \( N \) of rounds and then iterate over them, feeding back the output of the \( N \)th round to the input of the first round until the required number of rounds has been carried out. Improvements to this technique include the addition of inner- and outer-round pipeline registers. The second scheme consists of unrolling the whole number of rounds and adding inner- and outer-round pipeline stages to the design. While the first strategy is aimed to be used when area restrictions are strong, the second strategy is used to reach the maximum throughput possible without space restrictions.
The emphasis of this section is to show the different techniques to implement the Feistel structure of the KASUMI block cipher and the key scheduler, as well as their implementation results.

### 3.1.1 Iterative and reuse-based designs

The two architectures proposed by [20] implement logic for only one round, i.e. the FO and the FL function blocks. The first architecture, called Type 1, iterates over these two components eight times until completion of the process, feeding the design’s output back to its input at the end of each iteration. It is a simple component that sacrifices performance in the interests of achieving low hardware complexity, low power consumption, and suitability for implementation in mobile stations. Figure 3.1(a) illustrates this proposal.

Figure 3.1(b) shows the second design, the Type 2 architecture, which is intended to be implemented within the RNC in the UTRAN section of a UMTS network. High
performance is needed to fulfill encryption/decryption requests from several users. Therefore, this proposal contains a four-stage inner-round pipelined FO module that results in an increased operating frequency and an improved throughput, by a factor of four. Notice in figure 3.1(b) that the FL function is replicated twice to avoid conflicts.

Both architectures were developed using the VHDL language, and implemented in devices belonging to the Virtex-E family of FPGAs from Xilinx. Type 1 architecture turns out to be such an inexpensive implementation in terms of hardware resources, requiring 650 slices, when compared to Type 2 architecture, which requires 1100 slices. Concerning performance, Type 2 architecture has a throughput of 234 Mbps, working at a clock frequency of 33 MHz, whereas Type 1 design has a throughput of 110 Mbps, synchronized with a clock working at 20 MHz.

The goal of the work documented in [24] is to investigate the suitability of the KASUMI block cipher for hardware implementation. The two architectures proposed are implemented in Xilinx Virtex-E and Altera APEX 20KE FPGA technologies, as well as in Atmel’s 0.25 $\mu$m Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) technology, and highlight the principle that a trade-off between area and speed must be considered when designing. The first proposal’s goal is to reduce the area required to implement the block cipher by implementing a two-round iterative architecture, see figure 3.2. An interesting fact about this design is that the S7 and S9 S-boxes are implemented as combinational logic and, alternatively, mapped to embedded memory blocks within the FPGA, taking advantage of Xilinx’s Block SelectRAM+ (BRAM) and Altera’s Embedded System Block (ESB) technologies. This design requires 24 BRAM blocks when implemented in Virtex-E FPGAs, 12 blocks for each round, and 48 ESB blocks for devices from Altera, 24 blocks for each round.

The registers at the end of each round make the architecture to have a total completion latency of 8 clock cycles when the S-boxes are implemented as combinational modules, and 40 cycles when the S-boxes are mapped to embedded memory blocks; this due to the inner-round pipeline stages introduced by the registered outputs of the synchronous memory blocks. However, this two-round design is not intended to work in a pipelined fashion, i.e. ciphering two blocks during each clock cycle, though.
Table 3.1 reports the results obtained after performing the implementation process of the two versions of the two-round design on the three platforms. Notice that the process for Altera devices produces architectures with higher clock frequencies and throughputs. The number of slices required by the Virtex-E devices is less than the number of logic elements for the APEX devices. However, this is not conclusive since the organization of Xilinx’s FPGAs differs from the organization of the Altera’s FPGAs. The ASIC implementation has the highest throughput and clock frequency even when the S-boxes are implemented using combinational logic.

It is possible to manipulate the structure of the KASUMI block cipher, by means of aggressive simplifications, to get inexpensive datapaths with long latencies that carry out the ciphering process. The work reported in [29] presents the application of a simplification technique to design two KASUMI architectures requiring less than 600 slices in Virtex-E FPGAs and having latencies of 56 and 32 cycles, respectively.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Latency (Cycles)</th>
<th>Clock Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Throughput (Mbps)</th>
<th>Area (Slices)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>68.13</td>
<td>77.86</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>58.06</td>
<td>116.12</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>265.12</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Implementation results for the simplified architectures.

A third architecture with a latency of eight cycles is mentioned, and its results provided, but not described. Figure 3.3 shows the datapaths obtained after applying the simplification strategy proposed by the authors.

Table 3.2 shows the results obtained after implementing the three architectures in a Virtex-E FPGA. These designs are cheaper in terms of hardware resources than the former two proposals. Notice that the first architecture, the cheapest one, has the higher clock frequency and the lowest throughput. This situation is explained by the following expression:

\[
\text{throughput} = \frac{\text{block size} \times \text{clock frequency}}{\text{latency}}.
\] (3.1)

For the three architectures the size of the block is fixed, 64 bits, whereas the clock frequency and the latency values change for every design. The expression (3.1) indicates that as the latency increases, the throughput decreases in such a way that the performance is affected.

The two-round architecture described in [21], and shown in figure 3.4, is similar to that described in [24]. However, the proposal takes advantage of both inner- and outer-round pipeline techniques to decrease the period of the clock and increase the throughput. Inner-round registers are negative edge-triggered, whereas outer-round registers are positive edge-triggered; consequently, the execution time of each round is one clock cycle. The pipelined design allows this circuit to process two blocks simultaneously, with an initial latency of eight cycles. The S-boxes in this architecture are implemented with combinational logic.

The implementation results of this architecture, in a Virtex-E platform, are the following: 1726 Configurable Logic Blocks (CLBs), which is equivalent to 3452 slices, a clock frequency of 54 MHz and a throughput of 432 Mbps.
Figure 3.3: Two simplified iterative KASUMI architectures (from [29]).
Figure 3.4: Two-round dual edge-triggered pipelined KASUMI architecture (from [21]).

3.1.2 Pipelined designs

The second architecture proposed in [24] is shown in figure 3.5, its goal is to maximize throughput by implementing an unrolled eight-round outer-round pipelined datapath. This design requires four times more hardware resources than the two-round design in figure 3.2. There are also two variants of this architecture: the first variant includes combinational S-boxes, whereas the second one implements S-boxes using embedded memory blocks. In the first case, the initial latency is 9 clock cycles, and in the second case the initial latency is 41 cycles. A new plaintext block enters the datapath every clock cycle and, once the pipeline fills, the datapath issues a ciphertext block each clock cycle.

The results of the implementation process for this design are shown in table 3.3. Again, the FPGA implementations in the Altera devices achieve the highest throughputs and clock frequencies. The following expression to compute throughput in a pipelined architecture illustrates why these designs achieve performance above 1 Gbps:

\[
\text{throughput} = \text{block size} \times \text{clock frequency.} \tag{3.2}
\]

Figure 3.6 illustrates the eight-round architecture described in [21]. This datapath,
Figure 3.5: Eight-round pipelined KASUMI architecture (from [24]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Clock Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Throughput (Mbps)</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xilinx</td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>1335.04</td>
<td>4032 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round BRAM</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td>2414.08</td>
<td>2213 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altera</td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>1994.88</td>
<td>7106 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round ESB</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>3221.12</td>
<td>2316 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>94.05</td>
<td>5786.94</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: Implementation results for the eight-round architecture.
like the one shown in figure 3.4, contains an inner- and an outer-round pipeline; the outer-round registers trigger during the positive edge of the clock signal, and the inner-round registers trigger during the negative edge. Unlike the pipelined datapath described previously, the initial latency of this circuit is only eight clock cycles due to its dual edge-triggered design and the implementation of combinational S-boxes.

The implementation results of this architecture for a Virtex-E FPGA platform are the following: a total number of 4738 CLBs required, equivalent to 9476 slices, a clock frequency of 56 MHz and a throughput of 3584 Mbps.

### 3.1.3 KASUMI soft core

The company sci-worx commercializes an intellectual property (IP) core, available in VHDL and Verilog HDL, implementing the KASUMI block cipher as part of its
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Figure 3.7: KASUMI intellectual property core from sciworx (from [30]).

DesignObjects™ line of reusable cores ready to synthesize. Figure 3.7 shows the design of the core.

The core’s top level component is called kasumi_do and contains three functional units implementing an odd round, and even round and the key scheduling, respectively. The core receives the ciphering keys and the plaintext blocks through its input ports and is controlled by some input signals. The core is fully synchronous and takes one clock cycle to perform one round; thus, it needs eight cycles to complete the ciphering task.

Implementation data indicate that the core needs 1150 slices and reaches a clock frequency of 25 MHz in a Xilinx Virtex 1000 FPGA, no information concerning throughput is provided.

3.2 Implementations of the key scheduler

This section presents the strategies employed by the designers of the previously described KASUMI architectures to implement the block cipher’s key scheduler. Unfor-
Figure 3.8: Key scheduler for the single-round pipelined architecture (from [20]).

Unfortunately, not all of the references contain thorough descriptions of the architectures proposed.

The key scheduler corresponding to the KASUMI architecture in figure 3.1(b) stores four ciphering keys in its 32 16-bit registers, see figure 3.8. The control rotates the array of registers and the scheduler computes the subkeys needed for each pipeline stage during each cycle. The ciphering process for the first block concludes after rotating the array 32 times. Successive rotations will allow the next blocks to reach the final stage of the ciphering process. It may be that new ciphering keys are stored in the array of registers, if necessary, after an already stored key has been rotated throughout the array.

The key scheduler proposed in [29] for the architectures in figure 3.3, and illustrated in figure 3.9, is also a shift register architecture, where the initial key is rotated
to the left during encryption and to the right during decryption. The Kin 16-bit input port is used to load the 128-bit initial key into the scheduler’s registers after eight clock cycles, the barrel shifter stores the constants to be used during computation of the subkeys and the logic modules generate the subkeys. The registers are shifted every cycle for the 1 cycle/round architecture; and every 7 and 4 cycles for the 7 cycles/round and 4 cycles/round architectures, respectively.

The key scheduler presented in [21], and illustrated in figure 3.10, computes a number of 16-bit subkeys by means of an array of left rotation modules and a set of XOR gates. By concatenating some of these 40 subkeys the scheduler generates the round keys for the Feistel implementations in figures 3.4 and 3.6. These round keys are stored in a register file to accelerate the ciphering process.

### 3.3 Implementations of the $f_8$ and $f_9$ algorithms

The hardware implementations of $f_8$ and $f_9$ proposed in [24] consider that the algorithms will work with data whose length ranges between 1 and 5114 bits. Processing pieces of data with such lengths implies that a hardware module implementing the $f_8$ algorithm contains from 1 up to 80 instances of any of the KASUMI designs described in the previous section, which is costly. Figure 3.11 illustrates that the architectures designed implement the algorithms by iterating, at most 80 times, over a single KA-
SUMI module; generating a 64-bit block of the keystream, in the case of \( f8 \), and an operand for the XOR-sum required to compute the MAC-I value, in the case of \( f9 \), during each iteration. Another KASUMI module is required in \( f8 \) prior the iteration to set the register A properly. In \( f9 \), the second KASUMI module is placed after the iteration to compute the MAC-I value based on the result of the iteration phase.

Table 3.4 shows the results obtained after implementing the design for \( f8 \) in the two FPGA platforms. The architecture uses the two variants of the two-round KASUMI core (figure 3.2) and one variant of the eight-round datapath (figure 3.5), the one implementing combinational S-boxes. There are not enough memory blocks to store the 192 S-boxes required by the two KASUMI modules included in the designs.

The throughput for the architecture using the two-round variant using combinational S-boxes is computed by:

\[
\text{throughput} = \frac{\text{block size} \times \text{number of blocks} \times \text{clock frequency}}{(\text{number of blocks} + 1) \times 8}.
\]

For the architecture using memory blocks for the S-boxes, the throughput is given by:

\[
\text{throughput} = \frac{\text{block size} \times \text{number of blocks} \times \text{clock frequency}}{(\text{number of blocks} + 1) \times 40}.
\]

Figure 3.10: Key scheduler for dual edge-triggered KASUMI architectures (from [21]).
Figure 3.11: Iterative architectures implementing $f_8$ and $f_9$ (from [24]).

In both cases remember that block size = 64 and $1 \leq$ number of blocks $\leq 80$.

Table 3.5 shows implementation data for the $f_9$ architecture. This time, the performance metric is the time required to generate a message authentication code from a variable-length message. The expression to compute this parameter is as follows:

$$time = \frac{(\text{number of blocks} + 1) \times \text{latency}}{\text{clock frequency}}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (3.5)

Remember that latency is the number of clock cycles needed by the KASUMI module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Clock Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xilinx</td>
<td>two-round comb.</td>
<td>20.52</td>
<td>2781 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two-round BRAM</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>1563 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td>8146 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altera</td>
<td>two-round comb.</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>4687 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two-round BRAM</td>
<td>49.50</td>
<td>2128 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>29.06</td>
<td>15232 logic elements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Clock Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xilinx</td>
<td>two-round comb.</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>2671 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two-round BRAM</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>1560 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>20.19</td>
<td>8104 slices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altera</td>
<td>two-round comb.</td>
<td>29.62</td>
<td>4382 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two-round BRAM</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>1901 logic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eight-round comb.</td>
<td>28.93</td>
<td>13628 logic elements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Implementation results for the $f_9$ architecture.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 3.12: Merged architecture for $f_8$ and $f_9$ (from [29]).

to carry out the ciphering process on a 64-bit block. As before

$$1 \leq \text{number of blocks} \leq 80.$$  

The design proposed in [29] also considers input data and messages with a length of at most 5114 bits; its most remarkable feature is that it carries out both the $f_8$ and $f_9$ algorithms with the same architecture, see figure 3.12. Special care was taken to not add expensive and unnecessary components to the design, as well as implementing the combination of the ciphering and integrity keys with the key modifiers (KMs) in an efficient manner.

Table 3.6 shows the implementation results for this architecture. The first set of data were obtained after synthesizing to a Virtex-E device using a speed grade of $-8$,
Table 3.6: Implementation results for the merged architecture.

whereas the second data set corresponds to an implementation on a device with a speed grade of $-6$.

### 3.4 Analysis of the implementations of the KA-SUMI block cipher

The UMTS’ requirements specification for cryptographic algorithms [3] states that hardware designs of the confidentiality and integrity algorithms should be implemented using less than 10000 gates, achieve throughputs of at least 2 Mbps both on the downlink and the uplink direction, and work using clock frequencies upwards 20 MHz. In addition, these hardware modules must be allocated both to the user equipment and the RNC.

Even though each of the architectures for $f8$ and $f9$ described in the previous section fulfills the requirements of throughput and clock frequency stated in [3], there is still room for improvement in their designs. The following analysis emphasizes the strengths and weaknesses of the proposals to implement the KASUMI algorithm, since that module concentrates the most demanding processing.

The most advantageous feature of the Type 2 architecture described in [20], and shown in figure 3.1, is that its pipelined datapath is able to process up to four plaintext blocks at a time. However, in spite of this important characteristic, the complexity of the FO function module does not allow the design to have a short critical path. The only strategy considered to reduce the critical path is to insert an inner-round pipeline register between the FI blocks, which is not enough since each FI module contains four...
S-boxes, presumably implemented with combinational elements, which prevent the critical path from decreasing under a certain limit. The Type 1 architecture's critical path is even longer because this design contains the same number of components as Type 2 architecture but does not contain inner-round pipeline registers. Implementing any of these architectures as a functional unit inside a processor core will increase its clock frequency and degrade its performance.

A very important lesson taught by the experiences reported in [24], see figures 3.2 and 3.5, is that mapping the S-boxes to embedded memory blocks produces higher clock frequencies and has a significant effect on throughput. Although the results reported for these proposals contain some inaccuracies concerning the number of memory blocks employed, the throughputs achieved by employing this mapping strategy are rather good. The eight-round architecture is very expensive to be added as a functional unit inside a processor core, it consumes lots of hardware resources and embedded memory blocks. The two-round architecture would be a better choice, but it can be improved further by performing some simplifications in the structure of the rounds with the goal of saving resources and shortening the critical path.

Consuming too many hardware resources is a serious hindrance to incorporate an architecture into a processor core; but it is not the only one. Having inexpensive implementations of the integrity and confidentiality algorithms that have long latencies is also a counterproductive situation because it degrades performance, no matter the higher clock frequency, as revealed by the expression (3.1). This is the case of the architectures proposed in [29] and shown in figure 3.3, which require many clock cycles to encrypt one plaintext block and have long critical paths since there is no any intermediate clock-driven device. Although the proposals are wisely designed and fulfill the goal of being compact, it is possible to achieve a better tradeoff between compactness and low latency by manipulating the structure of the KASUMI block cipher in a different way to the proposed for these architectures.

Let us now examine the architectures described in [21], see figures 3.4 and 3.6. Notice that not only do they have outstanding performances, as a consequence of higher clock frequencies and shorter latencies in expressions (3.1) and (3.2), but are among the most expensive designs. The key for the high frequencies is the use of
negative edge-triggered inner-round registers and positive edge-triggered outer-round registers, which is a smart way to reduce the critical path and constitutes the main contribution of these works. The disadvantages of these proposals are that the S-boxes are implemented as combinational logic and the Feistel structure is not simplified to optimize the use of resources and decrease the critical path even further.

The previous discussion shows up the need for a KASUMI implementation that meets the following requirements in order to be incorporated into a processor core:

- Its critical path must be shorter than the processor’s critical path in order for its clock frequency does not affect the processor’s frequency.
- It must minimize the use of hardware resources by sharing modules.
- It must achieve a better tradeoff between performance and area complexity than the proposals discussed previously.

The analysis also revealed the following useful strategies that are worth to be taken into consideration when designing an architecture that meets the requirements:

- To transform the structure of the KASUMI block cipher without altering its functionality so that the new structure has the following features: shared use of resources, a shorter critical path and the possibility of reuse of a minimal number of components.

- The implementation of the S-boxes using lookup table structures in hardware. When using FPGAs, the embedded memory blocks are the most efficient alternative to use this strategy. This technique is advantageous because it saves hardware resources and, when the outputs of the memory modules are registered, contributes to reducing the critical path.

- The use of inner- and outer-round pipelines using both positive edge-triggered registers and negative edge-triggered registers allows decreasing the design’s critical path.
3.5 Implementation of the GEA3 algorithm

The security system for GPRS mobile stations proposed in [22] includes hardware implementations of the A3 and A8 algorithms [7], used to perform authentication and ciphering key generation tasks, and the GEA3 keystream generator. The A3/A8 pair is intended to be included in the SIM smart card, whereas the GEA3 module lies within the mobile equipment. Figure 3.13 shows the organization of the system.

The GEA3 module, see figure 3.14, is able to encrypt and decrypt data whose length varies between 1 and $M$ bytes, where $1 \leq M \leq 65536$; it is controlled by a 128-bit ciphering key $K'_c = K_c || K_e$, where $K_c$ is the encryption key generated by the A8 algorithm; and it works by iterating over the KASUMI pipelined implementation in figure 3.4, but with the restriction that the ciphering processing for a new block is started after completion of the former one. This architecture is actually an implementation of the KGCORE function, which is identical to the $f8$ algorithm. Notice the similarities between this design and that in figure 3.12.

The results of the implementation process, carried out in a Virtex-E platform, for this design are the following: 2687 CLBs, equivalent to 5374 slices, and a clock frequency of 33 MHz. A throughput of 363 Mbps is reported, but there is no information...
concerning the number of iterations required to reach that throughput.

3.6 The MPC185 security coprocessor

Previous sections describe different published proposals that implement cryptographic functions for UMTS networks in hardware, with different levels of performance and hardware utilization. But, what commercial security solutions exist for the telecommunications market? what strategies do these technologies use to provide 3G cellular networks with efficient security services? This section describes the Motorola MPC185 security coprocessor [27], which contains execution units intended to accelerate different cryptographic operations, including the $f_8$ and $f_9$ algorithms.

3.6.1 Description of the coprocessor

There exist several cryptographic coprocessors manufactured by Motorola implementing different algorithms and working with different bus technologies. The MPC190 and MPC184 devices include bus interfaces compliant with the PCI 2.2 standard, as well as FUs to perform several functions. The MPC185 is designed to work within a
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Figure 3.15: Organization of the MPC185 security coprocessor (from [27]).

system based on the 60x bus and, unlike the devices mentioned before, includes an
execution unit implementing the KASUMI block cipher and the $f_8$ and $f_9$ algorithms.
Other operations provided by this coprocessor include elliptic curve arithmetic, genera-
tion of random numbers and the following algorithms: DES, 3DES, RC-4, SHA-1
and AES.

Figure 3.15 shows the organization of the coprocessor, which resides in the mem-
ory map of the system’s main processor. The MPC185’s 60x Interface employs mas-
ter/slave protocols to transfer 64-bit words between the bus and the device’s internal
modules, managing the communication between execution units and other devices
present in the bus in an independent of existing processor fashion. When program-
ming the MPC185, the user writes a bundle of information, known as data packet
descriptor, to one of the four crypto-channels within the coprocessor using the sys-

tem’s bus. The crypto-channel decodes the descriptor, books an execution unit, uses
the bus interface to fetch all the required data, sends information back to the bus
after the cryptographic operation completes, resets the execution unit, and notifies
when the processing over the descriptor is done. The control unit schedules the re-
quired activities and manages the on-chip resources, including the execution units
and their first-in first-out (FIFO) buffers, the bus interface and the internal busses
interconnecting the various components.

Data packet descriptors allow access the cryptographic functions of the coproces-

sor, some of which are conceived to be multifunction to facilitate the implementation of security protocols. The header of the descriptor indicates the service required and specifies which FU to use and in which operation mode. This FU might require fetching information from system memory to carry out its task. For this purpose, the descriptor contains seven \((\text{length}, \text{pointer})\) pairs indicating the initial address of the piece of data required and its length. The last component of the descriptor is a pointer to the next descriptor to process.

The modes in which the control unit can configure the on-chip resources are the following:

**Host-controlled mode.** An external host is directly responsible for all data movement into and out of the resource. This bypasses the crypto-channels and the control arbitration unit.

**Static mode.** The user can reserve a specific execution unit to a specific crypto-channel. This removes the execution unit from control unit arbitration.

**Dynamic mode.** A crypto-channel can request a particular service from the corresponding available execution unit. The control unit is responsible for the execution unit and the bus arbitration and management.

### 3.6.2 The KASUMI execution unit

The KASUMI execution unit within the MPC185 coprocessor (KEU) is able to carry out either a single \(f_8\) operation or a \(f_8\) followed by a \(f_9\) operation or a single \(f_9\) operation or both of them simultaneously, depending on the operation mode the unit is working on. The length of the block of data to process is between 1 and 5114 bits. A key size register indicates the length, in bytes, of the ciphering key; its permitted values are 16, when the KEU performs only one operation, and 32, in case the unit simultaneously carries out the two algorithms.

During the processing of the \(f_8\) function, the KEU reads data from its input FIFO, XORs these data with the keystream it computes, and places the results in the output FIFO buffer. When carrying out the \(f_9\) function, the KEU reads the input message from the input FIFO and stores the resulting MAC-I value in the unit’s Data Out
register. Data are read from the input FIFO buffer 64 bits at a time. In a similar manner, reading from the FIFO address space will pop 64 bits of message data from the output FIFO.

The parameter values for the \( f_8 \) and \( f_9 \) functions, COUNT, BEARER and FRESH, are written in registers whose addresses in the map are: \( 14100_{16} \), \( 14108_{16} \) and \( 14110_{16} \), respectively. These registers make up the initialization vector (IV) of the KEU.

There is no further information which provides details of how the KASUMI block cipher is designed and how the function algorithms are implemented.
Chapter 4

Problem statement

As stated in chapter 1, this dissertation tackles the problem of implementing UMTS’ security operations in an efficient way. The previous chapter described different isolated hardware implementations of KASUMI, \( f^8 \) and \( f^9 \) that turn out not to be well-suited to work within a larger processing equipment present in a UMTS network. Thus, the efficiency also involves a non-intrusive coexistence with other modules in the system that perform the rest of the operations required.

There are two general methods to solve the problem. The first alternative consists of programming the functions as software modules for standard general purpose processors or digital signal processors. In the second approach a central processing element entrusts the computation of the security functions to a specialized coprocessor attached to the system bus, like the MPC185 security processor. The following sections discuss these two approaches in depth.

4.1 The software approach

Programs compiled from a high-level language or an assembly language use exclusively the instruction set specified by the architecture of a general purpose microprocessor. Implementing the \( f^8 \) and \( f^9 \) entirely in software has the following drawbacks:

- The overhead introduced by the pipeline stalls occurred during the execution of the large number of instructions making up each program reduces performance.
• Generally, the width of an embedded processor's internal registers (32 bits) is shorter than the width of the block to process (64 bits). Therefore, two separate sequences of instructions are needed to process each 32-bit half of the block. On the contrary, when processing either 16-bit or 9-bit or 7-bit long data the program wastes the processor’s registers and its capabilities. Both disadvantages may be solved by using a processor with a Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) instruction set.

• The program needs the processor to execute many of its bitwise logic instructions to implement each S-box. On the other hand, implementing the S-boxes as lookup tables stored in the system’s memory requires several accesses to memory and bus requests, unless advanced caching strategies are employed. In any case the overhead caused by the memory latencies and the cache management has a negative effect on performance.

4.2 The coprocessor approach

The coprocessor unit that carries out the confidentiality and integrity operations is attached to the system’s bus and communicates with the main processor and the memory using data and control signals. There are two alternatives to design the coprocessor unit: as an ASIC like the MPC185 coprocessor or as a hardware module implemented in a FPGA-based development board.

The main advantage of the coprocessor scheme is that it frees the processor from performing long sequences of instructions to accomplish the security functions, allowing it to devote its resources to other tasks. In spite of this situation, the performance of the systems implementing this approach is severely limited by the long latencies introduced by the communication through the system bus. This bus might be the 60x bus for the case of the MPC185 coprocessor or the PCI bus for a FPGA development board attached, for instance, to a personal computer. In addition, unfulfilled bus operations increase the delays even further.

The following is a description of the steps that the MPC185 coprocessor carries out to read data from the 60x bus when working as a bus initiator, i.e. it is able to
issue read and write commands to other components through the bus [27]:

1. A crypto channel asserts its 60x request to the control unit.

2. The crypto channel issues an address and the length of the transfer.

3. The control unit acknowledges request to the crypto channel.

4. The control unit asserts request to the 60x interface module.

5. The control unit waits for 60x read to begin.

6. When 60x read begins, the control unit receives data from the 60x interface module and performs a master write to the appropriate internal address using the address supplied by the crypto channel.

7. Transfer continues until the 60x read is completed and the controller has written all data to the appropriate internal address. The 60x interface module will continue making 60x bus requests until the full data length has been read.

The former sequence shows up the number of delays the MPC185 may incur when reading data from the bus, which might be either ciphertext blocks or keys. In particular, notice the cycles spent on waiting for the bus interface unit to start the reading procedure in step 5. Similarly, steps 6 and 7 indicate that several cycles might be needed to fetch data from the bus. This high consumption of cycles considerably degrades the overall system’s ciphering throughput. Hence the need for a scheme that tightly couples the ciphering module with a processing datapath that carries out the rest of the computational processing.

4.3 Solution proposal

The limitations of the pure software and coprocessor approaches to implement the security functions highlight the need for experimentation on a different design strategy. This scheme consists on adding a functional unit to a general purpose processor core that carries out the most computationally expensive operation within the security
functions, namely the KASUMI algorithm; extending the ISA with instructions that have access to the new hardware; and coding the \( f8 \) and \( f9 \) functions as sequences of the added instructions. By executing these software modules the processor would employ fewer clock cycles for completion of the security functions than with other software implementations, made up of only the processor’s integer and logic instructions. In addition, there would be no need to access the system bus.

There is no any previous reference to an implementation of an integration approach using the KASUMI algorithm in the revised literature, so this dissertation proposes a novel method to solve the problem considered. The crucial problem of designing an efficient functional unit implementing the KASUMI block cipher is solved first.

The hypothesis that the incorporation of a KASUMI functional unit into a general purpose processor core is feasible is supported by the results of similar successful works. The work in [15] concerns the acceleration of the Data Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm by means of an extension to the customizable ARCtangent microprocessor. The work reported in [17] deals with a methodology to evaluate the impact of extensions to an instruction set architecture both on software performance and hardware efficiency. It provides important hints concerning the derivation of an Application-Specific Instruction Set Processor (ASIP) from an extendible processor core.
Chapter 5

Novel proposals to implement KASUMI

This chapter describes the hardware architectures for the KASUMI algorithm designed with the goal of meeting the requirements described in a previous chapter: a short critical path, the use of shared resources and a good tradeoff between performance and number of hardware components utilized. The first, second and fourth architectures are iterative proposals based on the reuse of hardware components, whereas the third architecture is a fully pipelined design.

The four architectures are designed in VHDL and the corresponding prototypes implemented using FPGA technology. Among the virtues of FPGAs are: high flexibility, similar to that for software solutions; performance close to ASIC implementations; fast prototyping and the possibility to experiment with reconfigurable solutions.

5.1 Reuse-based designs

The simplification techniques presented in this section are novel and allow the architectures to meet the requirements of sharing resources and achieving a good balance between high performance and low area complexity.
5.1.1 Reuse-based architecture 1

The main principle to design for reuse is to specify an architecture consisting of some of the components that are needed to perform a round. This design is used every clock cycle in such a way that the output at the end of one cycle is the input for the next cycle. The fewer the components, the larger the number of cycles needed to carry out the ciphering process for one block. Also, the fewer cycles the architecture requires to perform the process, the more complex the architecture is in terms of area.

5.1.1.1 Datapath for the FO function

Instead of simplifying the algorithm at a lower level, for instance at the FI level as in [29], the manipulation is carried out at a higher level, at the FO function level. Figure 5.1 presents the process followed to design a datapath that reuses components within FO. Figure 5.1(a) illustrates an alternative parallel view of the FO function shown in figure 2.9.

- In 5.1(b) two XOR gates are added to FO to make the upper and lower sections more similar without modifying the behavior of the function. If these two parts were structurally the same, it would be possible to reduce the architecture to only one section that carried out the whole FO function after two cycles.

- The lower section in figure 5.1(b) needs a right FI function block to be structurally identical to the upper section in this modified FO block. In figure 5.1(c) an additional FI block is added to the lower section. The multiplexers in each section allow selecting the appropriate data flow.

- The whole datapath in figure 5.1(c) is now ready to be simplified. Figure 5.1(d) shows the final design, which takes two cycles to complete the FO function. Notice that multiplexers are necessary to supply the correct values both to the XOR gates and the FI module depending on if the datapath is in the first or second cycle.

Also notice that the datapath in figure 5.1(d) contains one dual input FI block, called dpFI, instead of two FI blocks as in the previous diagrams. This situation is
explained in more detail later because it constitutes another special feature of the
designs proposed in this document.

The control for this module is implemented as a finite state machine that sets the
multiplexers’ selector input properly each cycle. Since the design takes two cycles to
complete its processing, it requires a two-state control.

5.1.1.2 Datapath for the FI function

Figure 5.1(c) shows that the FO module requires two FI blocks to work properly. Since
FI contains two seven-bit S-boxes and two nine-bit S-boxes, the simplified datapath
that takes two cycles to complete the FO function requires a total number of eight
S-boxes. Implementing S-boxes using four $128 \times 7$ ROMs and four $512 \times 9$ ROMs is a
rather expensive choice in terms of area. The solution proposed is to map the S-boxes
to dual-port ROMs, which decreases by two the number of ROMs required. The use
of this technique exploits the principle of reuse even further because the same S-box
is now able to meet two requests at the same time.

Consider two instances of the FI block shown in figure 2.9(c), replace each pair
of $S_9$ S-boxes located in the same position in the FI blocks by a single dual-port
$S_9$ S-box and repeat this procedure with the pairs of $S_7$ S-boxes. The result is the
datapath illustrated in figure 5.2, which only contains two dual-port $S_9$ S-boxes and
two dual-port $S_7$ S-boxes and combines two FI function blocks into one.

There are several notes to point out concerning this design. First, during the
implementation phase the four dual-port S-boxes are mapped to dual-port embedded
memory blocks inside the FPGA. Second, the embedded memory blocks are syn-
chronous and this dual-port FI datapath is required to provide its results after one
clock cycle; therefore, the upper S-boxes are designed to be negative edge-triggered,
whereas the lower S-boxes are designed to be positive edge-triggered, as indicated in
figure 5.2. Third, the registers shown in the figure, colored in grey, synchronize input
data with the values provided by the upper and lower S-boxes.

Notice that the datapath in figure 5.1(d) also has positive edge-triggered registers
used to synchronize input data used by logic that is located further on the dual-
port FI module in the datapath. Actually, every input signal that is to be used
Figure 5.1: Sequence of steps to design a reusable datapath for a single FO function.
Figure 5.2: Datapath implementing two merged FI function blocks.
after a component containing the dual-port FI module must be synchronized with the data provided by the dual-port FI module by means of registers, either positive edge-triggered or negative edge-triggered.

5.1.1.3 Datapath for the round logic

The round logic, see figure 5.3, is the highest-level component of the KASUMI design proposed in this section. During the first two cycles it receives input data from outside by selecting the zero input in multiplexers A and B, and performs an odd-round operation by selecting zero input both in multiplexer C and in multiplexer D. During the next 14 cycles the outputs yielded by the datapath each cycle are fed back to its inputs. The same data must be present at the datapath's inputs during two cycles to carry out the correct processing; that is why there is a third registered input in both input multiplexers.

Notice in figure 5.3 that input data used after the FO function module, which in turn contains the dpFI function module, are synchronized using registers, which are colored in grey as well.

The control for this module is implemented as a finite state machine that sets each multiplexer's selector input properly each cycle. The datapath in figure 5.3 requires 16 cycles to fulfill the encryption process for every plaintext block. Therefore, the finite state control has 16 states and controls the four selectors.

5.1.1.4 The key scheduler

Figure 5.4(a) illustrates the key scheduler component developed for this project, which adapts easily to different implementation schemes. For this design, the outputs are fed back to the inputs. Other inputs are the initial key as the array of eight 16-bit values \( K_i, 1 \leq i \leq 8 \) and the array of eight 16-bit constants \( C_i, 1 \leq i \leq 8 \). In addition to yielding the set of round keys in a combinational way, this component outputs its input arrays rotated to the left one position.

Notice that the design for the round logic described previously requires that each set of round keys is available during two cycles. Adding logic to the key scheduler to keep its output round keys without change for two cycles might result in a complex
Figure 5.3: Datapath for one simplified round.

(a) Key scheduler design  
(b) Divide-by-2 clock divider

Figure 5.4: The components of the key scheduling system for the first architecture.
and expensive circuit. The most efficient way to fulfill the requirement is to connect the key scheduler’s clock input to the output of the divide-by-2 clock divider in figure 5.4(b). This technique preserves the simplicity of the key scheduler without affecting the ciphering process.

### 5.1.2 Reuse-based architecture 2

Some of the techniques used to design the second architecture are an evolution of those employed to build the first one, whereas others are simply used again. This time, the goal of the manipulation strategy is to reduce the number of clock cycles needed to cipher a plaintext block, i.e. the architecture’s latency.

#### 5.1.2.1 Joining two FO function components

The manipulation strategy considers a pair of consecutive rounds; an odd round followed by an even round. It changes the structure of the pair without altering its effects, adds components that balance the structure and discovers a design pattern that replicates. This pattern then turns into the basic building block that is implemented once and then reused until completion of the ciphering process.

The development of the strategy is illustrated, step by step, in figure 5.5. Figures 5.5(a)–5.5(c) show exactly the same two-round sequence in three different ways. In figure 5.5(d) both FO boxes are replaced by the parallel description for the FO function. Figure 5.5(e) illustrates the result of splitting the 32-bit XOR gate located between the two FO function blocks into two 16-bit XOR gates and “unfolding” the datapath comprising the upper FO function block’s output, the two 16-bit XOR gates and the lower FO function block in figure 5.5(d). Notice that both the 32-bit R0 input and the 32-bit R2 output are now split into two 16-bit lines, and that the components to the left of the lower FO function in figure 5.5(d) appear to the right in figure 5.5(e) as a consequence of the unfolding action. Figure 5.5(f) shows the result of joining the two FO function blocks to highlight the parallelism between each pair of FI function blocks. Some 16-bit XOR gates with one zero input are added along the datapath in certain places so that the datapath can be divided in three structurally similar sections. Figure 5.5(g) illustrates the result of this step: the sections between dashed
Figure 5.5: Sequence of steps to design a reusable datapath for a double FO function.

Figure 5.5: Sequence of steps to design a reusable datapath for a double FO function.

lines are structurally identical to each other due to the additional XOR gates, which do not modify the datapath’s behavior. The design pattern to be used as the basic component for the system is present in each section; it needs three cycles to perform the operations corresponding to two consecutive FO functions, one for the odd round and the other for the even round. Figure 5.5(h) shows the basic FO module, called superFO, and the surrounding logic needed to provide the appropriate inputs each cycle.

A three-state finite state machine issues the signals that control the multiplexers. Two positive edge-triggered registers delay the R2 output one cycle, which is required because R2 is computed during the second cycle.

5.1.2.2 Assembling the components

Figure 5.6 illustrates an improved superFO module containing the dual-port FI block illustrated in figure 5.2 instead of the pair of parallel FI function blocks. The dual-port
Figure 5.5: Sequence of steps to design a reusable datapath for a double FO function. (cont.)
(g) Step 7

(h) Step 8

Figure 5.5: Sequence of steps to design a reusable datapath for a double FO function. (cont.)
FI module outputs its results at every positive clock edge, so the additional registers are needed to delay data, synchronizing them with the outputs of the dpFI module. Figure 5.7 shows the complete datapath that performs the operations corresponding to two consecutive rounds. This architecture takes three cycles to complete two rounds, performs the block ciphering process in 12 cycles, and requires that two sets of round keys ($\{KL_1, KO_1, KI_1\}$ and $\{KL_2, KO_2, KI_2\}$), corresponding to two rounds, be available during the three cycles. The control for this datapath consists of a 12-state finite state machine that sets the multiplexers’ select inputs properly.

5.1.2.3 The key scheduler

The key scheduler for this design must provide the reusable datapath with two sets of round keys, one for each round, and maintain these sets of keys during three cycles. The module shown in figure 5.8 meets the requirement of providing two sets of round keys since it contains two replicas of the components used to generate one set. Adding
Figure 5.7: Reusable datapath implementing the operations for two rounds.
logic to keep the output values during three cycles would be too expensive; therefore, an alternative solution is conceived. The key scheduler is synchronized with a clock signal whose frequency is one third the frequency of the overall system’s clock. A divide-by-three frequency divider, implemented as a three-state finite state machine, generates the appropriate clock signal for this module. The key scheduler receives the encryption key $K$ as an array of eight 16-bit input values, which are used to generate the two sets of round keys, and issues these same values rotated to the left twice every positive clock edge. The key scheduler module is reused in this project by feeding the rotated outputs back to the inputs.

5.2 Pipelined design

As in the case of the second reuse-based proposal, the design of the pipelined architecture also relies on techniques that were developed during the design process of the previous architectures. The aims of this proposal are to achieve the highest performance reported so far for a hardware implementation of the KASUMI block cipher and to conceive a datapath with short critical path that can be implemented as a functional unit for a RISC processor.
5.2.1 The datapath

At every clock cycle the architecture receives a plaintext block as input, which is processed as it goes through the stages that make up the pipeline to produce a ciphertext block. Several blocks can be processed simultaneously in the different stages of the datapath. At every clock cycle a ciphertext block leaves the pipeline from the last stage and the first stage receives a new input plaintext block. This architecture reaches the best performance owing to the exploitation of temporal and spatial parallelism when processing plaintext blocks.

The first phase of the design process consists of the manipulations illustrated in figures 5.5(a)–5.5(f) over a pair of rounds; exactly as shown in the sequence of figures, no more, no less.

For the second phase consider the two-round datapath in figure 5.5(f); from it, it is possible to derive the pipelined datapath shown in figure 5.9. At first, each pair of parallel FI function blocks is replaced by the single dual-port FI module in figure 5.2; in this case three replacements are needed. Next, a couple of registers, a negative edge-triggered register followed by a positive edge-triggered register, is added in every line surrounding each dual-port FI block to synchronize the corresponding data with the two values produced by the dpFI module. The resulting pipeline has four stages, which means that it requires four cycles to perform two rounds of the ciphering process. A sequence consisting of four concatenated instances of this pipelined two-round datapath carries out the whole encryption process with an initial latency of 16 clock cycles.

Since the pipeline stages are independent of each other and have no interdependencies, the proposed datapath is free of data hazards. Structural hazards do not exist either because there is not any conflict in the use of hardware resources. Finally, control hazards are impossible since the architecture does not deal with any kind of control transfer instruction.

5.2.2 The key scheduler

The key scheduler corresponding to the pipelined datapath described previously is also designed using a pipelined approach, and must issue the set of round keys corre-
Figure 5.9: Pipelined datapath for the two-round sequence.
**Chapter 5. Novel Proposals to Implement Kasumi**

sponding to the odd round and the set of round keys corresponding to the even round in the following order:

**Clock cycle 1:** The key scheduler generates the 32-bit long $KL_1$ round key and the 32 most significant bits of both the $KO_1$ and $KI_1$ round keys, i.e. $KO_{1,1}||KO_{1,2}$ and $KI_{1,1}||KI_{1,2}$.

**Clock cycle 2:** The key scheduler generates the 16 least significant bits of both the $KO_1$ and $KI_1$ round keys, i.e. $KO_{1,3}$ and $KI_{1,3}$, as well as the 16 most significant bits of both the $KO_2$ and $KI_2$ round keys, i.e. $KO_{2,1}$ and $KI_{2,1}$.

**Clock cycle 3:** The key scheduler generates the 32 least significant bits of both the $KO_2$ and $KI_2$ round keys, i.e. the key scheduler issues $KO_{2,2}||KO_{2,3}$ and $KI_{2,2}||KI_{2,3}$.

**Clock cycle 4:** The key scheduler generates the 32-bit long $KL_2$ round key.

Figure 5.10 shows the organization of the key scheduler just described. Notice that its pipelined design enables it to compute the round keys for different plaintext blocks during the same clock cycle. In addition, each stage of the key scheduler computes only the round keys, or the portion of them, that are required by the corresponding stage in the ciphering datapath and no more. As the key scheduler in figure 5.8, this design also rotates the input arrays two positions to the left and outputs the resulting arrays, so the following instance receives the correct values and generates the appropriate sets of round keys for the next two-round sequence.

### 5.3 Reuse-based architecture 3

The strategy consists of iterating several times over a datapath implementing only a fraction of the whole block cipher discussed in the previous section, feeding back the output to the input, until completion of the process. This scheme is appropriate when the goal of the implementation is to save hardware resources, sacrificing performance. The architecture for this approach, unlike the pipelined one, does not receive a plaintext block each clock cycle, so there is no temporal parallelism. There is still spatial parallelism between the components of the datapath though.
Figure 5.10: Pipelined key scheduler.
5.3.1 The datapath

The basic block for the new architecture is the datapath in figure 5.9, although not used in a pipelined fashion. A multiplexer is placed at each of the L0 and R0 input ports; these multiplexers select the input to the datapath from two options: a new input plaintext block and the output value L2||R2, which is fed back to the datapath’s input. A plaintext block travels alone through the datapath every clock cycle, requiring four clock cycles to reach the end of the two-round datapath and 16 cycles to complete the iterative eight-round ciphering process.

5.3.2 The key scheduler

This architecture requires the design of a new key scheduler that issues and maintains the set of round keys for the first round ($\{KL_1, KO_1, KI_1\}$) along with the 16 most significant bits of the $KO_2$ and $KI_2$ round keys during two cycles. In addition, during the next two cycles it must issue and maintain the rest of the round keys for the second round, i.e. the 32 least significant bits of the $KO_2$ and $KI_2$ round keys, as well as the $KL_2$ round key. Figure 5.11 illustrates the key scheduler designed to meet these requirements. It contains two left-rotate registers: one register stores the array of eight 16-bit subkeys ($K_i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$) that make up the encryption key $K$ and the second register stores the array of fixed constants ($C_i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$) used to generate the round keys. Both of these registers are synchronized with a divide-by-two clock divider that allows the contents of the registers to be available during two clock cycles before being shifted. The registers must be preloaded with the encryption key $K$ and the array of constants before any ciphering process is carried out; this preloading needs 16 clock cycles and must be performed every time the encryption key changes.

5.4 Implementation and results

As mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, the implementation process was carried out using the VHDL language to build synthesizable cores of the designs and the FPGA technology to get a functional hardware prototype. Each of the designs was successfully verified using the four tests described in [6]. This phase of the project
Figure 5.11: Shift register-based key scheduler.
provided an important amount of information concerning the operation of the architectures, their performance and the number of resources consumed.

To make fair comparisons with the architectures described in chapter 3, the designs described here were also implemented in FPGA devices belonging to the Virtex-E family from Xilinx and synthesized using the Xilinx Synthesis Technology (XST) software tools [35, 36].

5.4.1 Platform description

The elements of every Virtex-E device are: the CLBs, which are the building blocks used to assemble a complete digital system; the Input/Output Blocks (IOBs) that provide the interface between the packet’s pins and the CLBs; the embedded memory blocks; the Delay Lock Loops (DLL), which are digital circuits intended to perform clock management functions such as clock-distribution and delay compensation; and the static configuration memory.

Each Virtex-E CLB contains two slices that are made up of two Logic Cells (LCs), so there is a total number of four LCs per CLB. Figure 5.12 shows the organization of the two LCs comprising every slice. Each LC contains a four-input function generator implemented as a four-input lookup table (LUT), dedicated elements for logic operations such as XOR and AND, dedicated carry paths, a flip-flop storage element and logic that combines the outputs of the function generators to implement functions of more inputs.

The Virtex-E devices introduce large blocks of BRAM memories. Each of these blocks is a fully synchronous dual-port (True Dual Port) 4096-bit RAM with independent control signals for each port. The data widths of the two ports can be configured in an independent fashion.

5.4.2 Synthesis results

Table 5.1 shows the results of the synthesis process concerning utilization of the FPGA’s internal resources for the four designs described in the previous section. Notice that the percentage of resources in the reconfigurable fabric, i.e. slices, flip-flops and LUTs, required by the reuse-based architectures does not surpass 20%.
Also notice that the pipelined proposal occupies less than one-third of the hardware resources of the FPGA where it was implemented. This is an indication of how compact the architectures are and a proof of the efficient use of the resources in the FPGA.

To make fair comparisons with most of the synthesis results provided for the other proposals, the designs were synthesized for devices with a speed grade of -8. The optimization goal was set to speed.

Consider the number of bits needed to implement each S-box: $128 \times 7 = 896$ bits for each S7 S-box and $512 \times 9 = 4608$ bits for each S9 S-box. A S7 S-box fits well in a 4096-bit BRAM block, whereas a 4608-bit S9 S-box is far larger; therefore, two blocks are required to implement one S9 dual-port S-box. That is why in our proposals each dpFI module needs six BRAM blocks to implement the S-boxes instead of only four. The use of BRAM blocks removes complexity from the reconfigurable fabric.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of elements used</th>
<th>Total number of elements available</th>
<th>Percentage of use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reuse-based design 1</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slice Flip-Flops</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-input LUTs</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRAMs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCLKs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuse-based design 2</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slice Flip-Flops</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-input LUTs</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRAMs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCLKs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuse-based design 3</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slice Flip-Flops</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-input LUTs</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRAMs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCLKs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelined design</td>
<td>XCV1000E-8-BG560</td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>3928</td>
<td>12288</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slice Flip-Flops</td>
<td>6596</td>
<td>24576</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-input LUTs</td>
<td>2146</td>
<td>24576</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRAMs</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCLKs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Summary of the synthesis results for the architectures proposed.
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5.5 Comparison

Table 5.2 is a summary of the relevant data concerning performance and area complexity for all of the FPGA implementations of the KASUMI algorithm considered so far. The throughput of the architectures developed for this project was computed by using the expression (3.1) for the iterative designs and the expression (3.2) for the pipelined proposal. The table illustrates that some throughput values reported for other proposals do not agree with the expressions, perhaps due to a miscalculation; these values are indicated in the table.

The reuse-based architecture with the highest performance is the hybrid design proposed in [21]; it achieves a throughput of 432 Mbps, at the expense of a great number of slices though. The second place is for the third iterative architecture developed for this project, which with 5.5 times fewer slices it achieves almost 73.6% of the hybrid design’s performance.

There is no any comment in [29] about the design of the architecture with a latency of eight clock cycles, only its results; however, its performance is good and even surpasses this project’s second reuse-based proposal in this respect. Notice that although our proposal’s clock frequency (41.63 MHz) is higher than the other architecture’s clock frequency (33.14 MHz), its longer latency (12 clock cycles) decreases its performance. Notice that the difference between the number of slices required by our design and the number of slices occupied by the other proposal is very small: 22 slices.

Assume that the throughput of the hybrid architecture reported in [20] (Type 2), and illustrated in table 5.2, is correct. In that case the conclusion is that the second iterative design proposed in this document, the one with a latency of 12 clock cycles, has a very competitive performance consuming fewer hardware resources. It is a good tradeoff between high performance and low area complexity.

Now consider the two simplified architectures proposed in [29] and illustrated in figure 3.3. Table 5.2 indicates that their performance is lower than our first reuse-based architecture’s performance, even though they have higher clock frequencies and consume fewer slices. This is a clear indication that the design of architectures having long latencies should be avoided, privileging instead tradeoffs between short latency.
### Table 5.2: Summary of information concerning performance and hardware complexity for the different architectures implementing the KASUMI block cipher in FPGA technology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Latency (cycles)</th>
<th>Area (slices)</th>
<th>Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Throughput (Mbps)</th>
<th>efficiency (kbps/slices)</th>
<th>Number of S-boxes</th>
<th>Number of BRAMs</th>
<th>Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Works in [29]</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>68.13</td>
<td>77.86</td>
<td>211.58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>58.06</td>
<td>116.12</td>
<td>313.84</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>265.12</td>
<td>450.88</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works in [24]</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>35.35</td>
<td>70.70 d</td>
<td>94.39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24 e</td>
<td>XCV200E-6-FG456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td>2414.08</td>
<td>1090.86</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96 e</td>
<td>XCV1000E-6-BG560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works in [20]</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>110 d</td>
<td>169.23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>N/A c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>234 d</td>
<td>212.73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>N/A c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works in [21]</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>8 a</td>
<td>9476 f</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3584</td>
<td>378.22</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3452 f</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>125.14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These works</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>41.14</td>
<td>164.56</td>
<td>337.21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>222.02</td>
<td>392.26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>79.45</td>
<td>317.8</td>
<td>508.48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>XCV300E-8-BG432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pipelined</td>
<td>16 a</td>
<td>3928</td>
<td>83.14</td>
<td>5320.96</td>
<td>1354.62</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>XCV1000E-8-BG560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Initial latency, once the pipeline is filled the architecture produces a ciphertext block each cycle.

b Not applicable.

c Information not available.

d Value reported in the corresponding reference that does not agree with expression (3.1).

e This seems to be wrong since two BRAMs are needed for each S9 S-box.

f Information originally provided in terms of CLBs: 4738 for the pipelined design and 1726 for the reuse-based design. The XCV300E device only contains 1536 CLBs.
and a reasonable number of hardware resources. The iterative designs in [20] and [24] do not do better either; as well as being more expensive in terms of FPGA resources, their performance is poorer, almost 1.5 times lower in the first case and 2.3 times lower in the second case.

The higher clock frequencies of the third iterative design and the pipelined design proposed here are explained by the short critical path of these architectures. There are very few logic components between each pair of registers, including the negative edge-triggered and the positive edge-triggered, located throughout the datapath of both the cipher and the key scheduler. The difference between the clock frequencies of these two designs is due to the long feedback signal paths and the two multiplexers added to the L0 and R0 input ports in the third reuse-based architecture, which are required to enable the reuse of the ciphering datapath.

The pipelined architecture proposed here is superior to the pipelined designs in [21] and [24] because the optimizations performed on the Feistel structure and the mapping of the S-boxes to embedded memory blocks produce a short critical path that increases the overall clock frequency and, as indicated by the expression (3.2), the throughput, which is the highest reported so far. Also, our design is almost 2.5 times cheaper in terms of FPGA resources, or slices, than the architecture reported in [21], which is a consequence of a lack of optimization efforts and the inclusion of pure combinational S-boxes in that proposal.
Chapter 6

The extended processor core

This chapter describes the extensions made to a MIPS-based processor core to support block ciphering according to the KASUMI algorithm. The information provided includes a thorough description of the components of the new functional unit and the four instructions added to the instruction set, an accurate timing analysis and implementation results for a FPGA platform.

6.1 The base processor core

The first task is to select the most suitable processor core to extend according to very specific requirements. The following is a description of such requirements:

Availability of the source code. The goal of this project is not only to propose the organization and operation of the functional unit, but also to actually carry out the integration process. That is why it is strictly necessary to have the source code of the core.

Functionality. The processor core to use should have been employed previously to solve practical problems.

Simplicity. A high performance processor like those designed for the workstation market is so complex to be used in an embedded environment like the one considered in this project. Therefore, the processor core to use shall not contain
complexities like Memory Management Units (MMUs), complex branch prediction and prefetching algorithms, an elaborated memory hierarchy and floating point execution units.

A commercial or open source processor core can be classified into one of two categories: extendible or configurable. A commercial or open source processor core can be classified into one of two categories: extendible or configurable. The designer is able to add functionality to an extensible core when its source code, written in a hardware description language, is available. In some cases a front-end application allows to specify the values for some parameters in the source code. In addition to extending the processor model, the designer must modify the compiler and other system software tools in order for them to know about the new extensions. Some examples are: MIPS32 M4K™ for MIPS and LEON2 for SPARC V8. Configurable cores allow designers to specify the necessary functionality and remove the unneeded features by means of advanced software tools. It is also possible to extend their functionality in a similar way as for extensible cores. In both cases the designer configures the processor by means of software, which at the end generates the source code for the core as well as its compiler, simulator and debugger. Some examples are: ARCTM700 from ARC International and Xtensa from Tensilica Inc.

Due to budget limitations it was not possible to use a commercial configurable core since the start of the project, so the first important decision was to employ an open source extensible core. The use of a very complex core to carry out the job is feasible, but the time needed to understand the core’s internals and conceive a way to extend it increases noticeably. Therefore, the simplicity of the source code is a key factor to make the final decision. The core chosen for this project that meets the requirements is the MyRISC core [34], which models a MIPS processor with the following features:

- Implements the R2000 32-bit instruction set.
- Its five-stage pipeline structure is identical to that described in [18].
- Does not include a memory hierarchy, although it is possible to add it.
6.2 The KASUMI functional unit

Figure 6.1 shows the organization of the extended MyRISC core proposed. The part above the thick horizontal line corresponds to the initial RISC processor core as it is distributed. The components lying below the thick line correspond to the KASUMI extension, which carries out the processing corresponding to two rounds.

A detailed description of the components of the functional unit is provided next. Figure 6.1 illustrates that the modules that store and generate data operands are located in the processor’s Instruction Decode (ID) stage, whereas the modules that perform encryption operations belong to the Execute (EX) stage.

6.2.1 The extended register file

The new functional unit contains ten 32-bit registers that store the data it processes. Extended instructions move data from/to integer registers to/from a register within this new register file. Figure 6.2 shows the organization of this data unit.

Registers 0 and 1 store the plaintext block the KASUMI functional unit works with; after the ciphering process the registers store the ciphertext block produced. The 32 most significant bits of the block are stored in register 0, whereas register 1 stores the 32 least significant bits. The 128-bit encryption key $K$ is split into four 32-bit parts and stored in registers 2 to 5. Registers 6 to 9 store the ciphering constants used along with the encryption key to generate the set of round keys $KL_i, KO_i, KI_i$ for each round $i$. There is no need to preload the array of constants since these values are automatically stored every time the RESET signal is asserted.

Any of the first six registers within the extended register file can be synchronously written by specifying its address and the value to store, in the same way as for integer registers. Registers 0 and 1 can be written in parallel to store the ciphertext block produced by the block ciphering modules. These two kinds of writing can not be accomplished simultaneously.

The array that stores the encryption key $K$ (registers 2 to 5) is synchronously rotated upwards to compute the appropriate round keys for the next two rounds. This is also true for the array that stores the ciphering constants (registers 6 to 9).
Figure 6.1: The MyRISC MIPS-based processor core with the extended KASUMI functional unit.
The only kind of writing allowed to occur at the same time as the rotation of the arrays is the parallel writing of registers 0 and 1.

The register file asynchronously outputs the contents of the ten internal registers. An additional output issues the contents of a specific register indicated by an input address line in an asynchronous fashion as well.

### 6.2.2 The forwarding unit

This module allows the KASUMI functional unit to use correct and up-to-date values of the plaintext block and the encryption key. The extended processor allows different instructions that modify the first six registers to be executing along the pipeline. The forwarding unit receives values from the KASUMI register file and from different pipeline stages and determines if the values stored in registers are old, in which case the unit outputs the new values before they are actually written in the extended register file. This unit makes its decision based on input control signals and register address lines coming from either the stages in the integer pipeline or the modules comprising the KASUMI functional unit.

The forwarding unit outputs the plaintext block sent to the extended register file.
file most recently, or the ciphertext block computed most recently, directly to the
ID/EX pipeline register. The outputs corresponding to the encryption key are used
to generate the next two sets of round keys.

6.2.3 The key generation unit

The KASUMI functional unit attached to the processor core carries out two rounds of
the whole ciphering process. The key generation unit outputs two sets of round keys
\( \{K_{L1}, K_{O1}, K_{I1}\} \) and \( \{K_{L2}, K_{O2}, K_{I2}\} \) and stores them into the ID/EX pipeline
register to be issued to the ciphering datapath during the next clock cycle.

This unit receives as inputs the four 32-bit words comprising the encryption key
\( K \) from the forwarding logic and the four 32-bit words storing the ciphering con-
stants from the extended register file. The round keys are generated according to the
procedure illustrated in table 2.1.

6.2.4 The ciphering datapath

This module is parallel to the EX stage of the processor’s datapath and performs
the encryption process using the block issued by the forwarding unit and the round
keys computed by the key generation unit. It carries out two rounds of the KASUMI
algorithm in four steps: \( K1, K2, K3 \) and \( K4 \), where each step takes one clock cycle to
complete. In spite of this multicycle operation, the ciphering datapath is not intended
to work in a pipelined fashion. This means that an instruction that uses the ciphering
datapath is not allowed to enter the \( K1 \) module until the previous instruction has left
the \( K4 \) module. In the KASUMI functional unit illustrated in figure 6.1 synchronous
registers are indicated by grey boxes.

At this point of the project it is possible to take advantage of the work carried
out previously, so the basic two-round datapath in figure 6.3 is used to implement
the ciphering datapath attached to the processor core and shown in figure 6.1.

When the ciphering process reaches the \( K3 \) module it commands the KASUMI
register file to rotate the arrays storing the encryption key and the ciphering constants,
by means of a control signal indicated by a dashed line in figure 6.1, in order for the
Figure 6.3: Pipelined datapath for the two-round sequence.
next two sets of round keys to be available in the next two clock cycles, when a new instruction enters K1.

During the K4 step the corresponding module bypasses the computed ciphertext block to the forwarding unit to override the block stored in registers and make the new one available as the plaintext block to process in the next clock cycle. A control signal indicated by a dashed line in figure 6.1 is also bypassed to help the forwarding unit to determine the correct value of the block.

When the ciphering instruction leaves the K4 module it enters the pipeline’s memory access stage (MEM) where, in the case of KASUMI instructions, the ciphertext block just computed is actually written into registers 0 and 1 within the extended register file. Meanwhile, a new KASUMI ciphering instruction can start with the K1 step.

6.3 The extended instructions

This section describes the four instructions added to the MIPS instruction set that exploit the KASUMI functional unit. Information concerning instruction formats and the effects on the processor’s state is provided.

6.3.1 The kxor1 instruction

MNEMONIC: kxor1 KRd, Rs, Rt.

DESCRIPTION: Carries out the operation $Rs \oplus Rt$, where $Rs$ and $Rt$ are integer registers. This instruction uses the integer EX and MEM pipeline stages and saves the result in the extended KASUMI register file at the entry addressed by the four least significant bits of $KRd$ during the integer WB stage.

FORMAT: This instruction is encoded using the R-format, i.e. the $op$ field equals 000000$_2$. The value assigned to the $funct$ field is 10$_{10} = 001010_2$, which conveniently sets the processor’s control signals to appropriately drive the flow of data along the datapath to accomplish the instruction. The value of the $shamt$ field is discarded. Figure 6.4 summarizes this information.
### 6.3.2 The kxor2 instruction

**MNEMONIC:** kxor2  KRd, Rs, Krt

**DESCRIPTION:** Carries out the operation $Rs \oplus Krt$, where $Rs$ is an integer register and $Krt$ is a register in the extended KASUMI register file. This instruction uses the integer EX and MEM pipeline stages and saves the result in the extended KASUMI register file at the entry addressed by the four least significant bits of $Krd$ during the integer WB stage.

**FORMAT:** This instruction is encoded using the R-format, i.e. the op field equals $000000_2$. The value assigned to the funct field is $11_{10} = 001011_2$, which conveniently sets the processor’s control signals to appropriately drive the flow of data along the datapath to accomplish the instruction. The value of the shamt field is discarded. Figure 6.5 summarizes this information.

### 6.3.3 The kxor3 instruction

**MNEMONIC:** kxor3  Rd, Rs, Krt

**DESCRIPTION:** Carries out the operation $Rs \oplus Krt$, where $Rs$ is an integer register and $Krt$ is a register in the extended KASUMI register file. This instruction uses the integer EX and MEM pipeline stages and saves the result in the integer register addressed by $Rd$ during the integer WB stage.
Figure 6.6: Format of the \texttt{kxor3} instruction.

**FORMAT:** This instruction is encoded using the R-format, i.e. the \textit{op} field equals 000000\textsubscript{2}. The value assigned to the \textit{funct} field is 50\textsubscript{10} = 110010\textsubscript{2}, which conveniently sets the processor’s control signals to appropriately drive the flow of data along the datapath to accomplish the instruction. The value of the \textit{shamt} field is discarded. Figure 6.6 summarizes this information.

### 6.3.4 The \texttt{k2rnd} instruction

**MNEMONIC:** \texttt{k2rnd}

**DESCRIPTION:** This instruction carries out the operations corresponding to a sequence of an odd round and an even round of the KASUMI block cipher. It does not need explicit operands; it uses the outputs of the forwarding logic and the key generation unit. A sequence of four \texttt{k2rnd} instructions performs the whole KASUMI algorithm.

\texttt{k2rnd} is a multicycle instruction whose execution phase is actually made up of four cycles: K1, K2, K3 and K4. Only after a \texttt{k2rnd} instruction has finished with cycle K4, the next \texttt{k2rnd} instruction will enter K1.

During the MEM stage this instruction issues the computed block to the extended register file in order for it to be stored in registers 0 and 1. Since this operation is synchronous, the block is actually written when the instruction enters the WB stage.

**FORMAT:** This instruction is encoded using the I-format with \textit{op} = 44\textsubscript{10} = 101100\textsubscript{2}. The rest of the instruction fields, i.e. \textit{Rs}, \textit{Rt} and the immediate value, are discarded. See figure 6.7.
6.4 Details about the execution of extended instructions

Figure 6.8 illustrates the pipelined execution of the instructions making up the encryption process. The operands of the instructions are carefully chosen to show how the extended processor deals with special execution conditions.

The first six \texttt{kxor1} instructions load the plaintext block and the encryption key into the extended registers. The next four \texttt{k2rnd} instructions perform the encryption process using the operands stored by the previous instructions.

Notice that the address of the target register in instruction 1, which is 0, equals the address of the first source register in instruction 2. For integer instructions this would cause a data hazard and the bypassing of the value computed by instruction 1 in the EX stage to the ID stage of instruction 2 during the third clock cycle. However, for the instructions in figure 6.8 the bypassed value is ignored by the integer forwarding logic since the target register of instruction 1 is an extended register, not an integer register as the source register of instruction 2. This situation is called a \textit{false data hazard} and is handled by the processor by appropriately setting a control signal. The same situation occurs during cycles 4 and 5.

A true data hazard occurs during the eighth cycle because the first \texttt{k2rnd} instruction needs to compute the two sets of round keys and, at this time, the encryption key $K$ has not been completely stored. However, the forwarding logic in the KASUMI functional unit overcomes this problem. This module receives the bypassed data signals from the \texttt{kxor1} instructions in the EX, MEM and WB stages of the integer pipeline and issues them to the key generation unit to produce the round keys needed in the next cycle. The KASUMI forwarding logic ignores any bypassed signal issued by an instruction different from \texttt{kxor1} and \texttt{kxor2}.
Figure 6.8: Pipelined execution of a sequence of extended instructions.
Figure 6.8 shows how the pipeline is stalled to prevent a k2rnd instruction from entering K1 before a previous k2rnd instruction leaves K4. It does not make sense to allow the pipelined execution of the two instructions due to, for instance, the block the instruction 8 is going to work with is not ready when the instruction 7 enters K2.

Notice in figure 6.8 that the overlapped execution of a k2rnd instruction (10) and an integer instruction (11) is allowed. This situation does not produce structural hazards since the integer MEM and WB stages do not share any module with the corresponding MEM and WB stages in the extended functional unit. When a k2rnd instruction, e.g. the instruction 7 in figure 6.8, enters K1 a no-operation integer instruction enters EX in the integer portion of the processor’s pipeline.

The number of cycles elapsed since instruction 7 starts execution until instruction 10 leaves the execution stage is 16 cycles. A total number of 26 cycles are needed to carry out the whole ciphering process including the storage of the plaintext block and the encryption key into the extended register file.

6.5 Comparison with an implementation in software

This section highlights the advantages of the integration approach just described by demonstrating that the number of instructions needed to implement the KASUMI block cipher in software, using the standard MIPS32 instruction set, is much higher than the number of extended instructions needed. As a consequence, the number of clock cycles a non-extended MIPS processor requires is much higher than the number of cycles the extended MIPS processor invests.

The C code for KASUMI included in [5] is made up of five functions: FI(), FO(), FL(), KeySchedule() and Kasumi(). This code is suitable to carry out a thorough study concerning the number of instructions executed by a compiled program. The source code is compiled using the C cross-compiler provided by the Software Development Environment (SDE) for MIPS-based products toolkit from MIPS Technologies [26], which is actually a built of GNU’s C compiler. The compiler is instructed, with the -Os option, to enable all the optimizations intended to reduce code size and gen-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FI( )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length:</strong> 34 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of load instructions:</strong> 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lhu: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of store instructions:</strong> 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of arithmetic and logic instructions:</strong> 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of control transfer instructions:</strong> 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of function calls:</strong> 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of loops:</strong> 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of if-then-else structures:</strong> 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of instructions executed by the function:</strong> 34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1: Instruction analysis for the FI() function.

erate the shortest executable program. This executable program is then disassembled using the objdump utility, which displays information from object files and is part of the GNU’s set of binary utilities (Binutils).

The result of the study is summarized in tables 6.1–6.5. The instructions making up each module are counted, special constructs like loops, control transfer statements and function calls are identified and a precise counting of executed instructions is carried out for each of these constructs. The last entry of the tables provides the exact number of instructions the MIPS processor executes for the corresponding function. The number of instructions required to perform the KASUMI algorithm is given by adding the counts for the top level modules Kasumi() and KeySchedule(), i.e. \( 1540 + 915 = 2455 \) instructions.

The proposal described in this document only requires ten instructions to perform the block ciphering process. It also requires a few load instructions to transfer the values employed to compute the plaintext block and the encryption key from memory to the integer registers. A few more instructions may be needed if it is necessary to move the ciphertext block to the integer register file and from there to memory. Considering these facts, a few tens of instructions would be required to have a ciphertext block stored in the system’s memory. The new approach proposed allows to reduce the number of instructions required by two orders of magnitude at the expense of the addition of a compact functional unit.
### FO()

Length: 51 instructions
- Number of load instructions: 9
  - lhu: 6
  - lw: 3
- Number of store instructions: 3
  - sw: 3
- Number of arithmetic and logic instructions: 35
- Number of control transfer instructions: 4
- Number of function calls: 3
  - Call 1: FI()
    - Number of instructions executed: 34
  - Call 2: FI()
    - Number of instructions executed: 34
  - Call 3: FI()
    - Number of instructions executed: 34
- Total number of instructions executed: 102
- Number of loops: 0
- Number of if-then-else structures: 0

| Total number of instructions executed by the function: 153 instructions |

Table 6.2: Instruction analysis for the FO() function.

### FL()

Length: 24 instructions
- Number of load instructions: 2
  - lhu: 2
- Number of store instructions: 0
- Number of arithmetic and logic instructions: 21
- Number of control transfer instructions: 1
- Number of function calls: 0
- Number of loops: 0
- Number of if-then-else structures: 0

| Total number of instructions executed by the function: 24 |

Table 6.3: Instruction analysis for the FL() function.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kasumi()</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong>: 70 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of load instructions</strong>: 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbu: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of store instructions</strong>: 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sb: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of arithmetic and logic instructions</strong>: 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of control transfer instructions</strong>: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of loops</strong>: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop 1:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of iterations</strong>: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of the body of the loop</strong>: 18 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of function calls</strong>: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call 1: FL()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instructions executed: 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call 2: FO()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instructions executed: 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call 3: FO()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instructions executed: 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call 4: FL()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instructions executed: 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of instructions executed by the four functions</strong>: 354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of the body of the loop including the four functions</strong>: 372 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of instructions executed by the loop</strong>: 1488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of instructions outside the loop</strong>: 52 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of if-then-else structures</strong>: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of instructions executed by the function</strong>: 1540 instructions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4: Instruction analysis for the Kasumi() function.
### KeySchedule()

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>138 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of load instructions</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbu</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lhu</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of store instructions</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of arithmetic and logic</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of control transfer instructions</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of loops</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of iterations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the body of the loop</td>
<td>9 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of instructions executed by the loop</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of iterations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the body of the loop</td>
<td>14 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of instructions executed by the loop</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of iterations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the body of the loop</td>
<td>88 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of instructions executed by the loop</td>
<td>704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of instructions executed by the three loops</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instructions outside de loops</td>
<td>27 instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of if-then-else structures</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of instructions executed by the function</td>
<td>915 instructions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.5: Instruction analysis for the KeySchedule() function.
Table 6.6: Synthesis results for the components of the KASUMI functional unit.

6.6 Synthesis results

Table 6.6 summarizes the information provided by the XST tools concerning the number of hardware resources in the FPGA consumed by the different modules making up the KASUMI functional unit. The column labeled ID refers to the set of components that constitute the instruction decode stage, both the logic elements located in the integer portion and those located in the extended functional unit.

The synthesis process was carried out using a XCV1000E-8BG560 Virtex-E device, which contains 12288 slices, 24576 slice flip-flops, 24576 four-input LUTs and 96 SelectRAM+ memory blocks. Notice that the percentage of use of hardware resources for every module listed in table 6.6 is low, not surpassing 17% in the case of the number of slices required and 15% in the case of the number of four-input LUTs in the reconfigurable fabric.

Concerning clock frequency, this is rather high for each of the five modules within the functional unit. The four modules in the ciphering datapath have a very short critical path and a clock frequency of 96.339 MHz, which is only slightly lower than that for the set of elements in the ID stage. This seems to be a consequence of the dual-edge triggered design of the K1, K2 and K3 steps in an effort to balance the duty cycle of the clock signal. The advantage of a dual-edge triggered design is the possibility of achieving the same data throughput with one half of the clock frequency.
Chapter 7

Conclusions

This dissertation proposed a novel solution approach to the problem of efficiently implementing the $f_8$ confidentiality algorithm, the $f_9$ integrity algorithm and the KASUMI block cipher, which are essential components to guarantee high levels of security in UMTS third generation cellular networks. This approach consists of three phases. First, the design of a high performance hardware module that can be used to perform the KASUMI algorithm, the most performance demanding component of both $f_8$ and $f_9$. Second, the addition of this functional unit to the microarchitecture of a RISC processor core intended to be used in embedded environments. Third, the extension of the instruction set of the processor to exploit the capabilities of the new hardware. This scheme was successfully completed and, as a consequence, the objectives posed initially were achieved.

The experimentation work performed and the thorough study of the compiled code of the block ciphering algorithm conducted led to deduce the following conclusions that demonstrate the superiority of the new proposal above the others:

- Replacing a long sequence of arithmetic and logical instructions by dedicated hardware reduces code size by two orders of magnitude and, consequently, the number of clock cycles needed for completion of the ciphering process. This situation significantly increases the performance of the confidentiality and integrity algorithms.

- The addition of a specialized hardware module for encryption avoids requesting
that service from an external, and perhaps expensive, coprocessor. This advantage eliminates performance losses caused by long latencies when accessing the system’s bus to communicate with external entities.

- The use of a custom functional unit for the KASUMI algorithm takes advantage of hardware resources more efficiently than implementing the algorithm in software. The length of the internal registers and datapaths that make up the KASUMI functional unit are defined exactly as the block cipher requires and no unnecessary operation is carried out.

- The integration scheme proposed is the best alternative when the security functions must coexist with other operations. The functional unit for encryption does not interfere with a number of other custom modules the processor core may contain for different purposes.

- A tight coupling between the main processing module and dedicated extended hardware is required to achieve higher performance and to eliminate long latencies due to long communication paths. This work remarks the importance of building specialized processing units that are directly attached to a processor core, i.e. both components are implemented within the same silicon area and interact with each other. There are two options to fulfill this requirement:

  - To build the processor core and the dedicated functional units within a rigid ASIC.
  - To map the specialized units to a reconfigurable fabric attached to a processor core.

- This work profited from the advantages of the FPGA devices to build hardware prototypes of the designs in a very short-term, as well as from advanced electronic design automation (EDA) tools like an integrated development environment for VHDL and the Xilinx Synthesis Technology tools.

The solution described in this document keeps the flexibility provided by software and reaches a level of performance very close to that of a solution implemented in
The following is a list of the contributions the development of this project has yielded:

- A set of design strategies aimed to implement in hardware Feistel-like encryption algorithms in an efficient manner, in particular the KASUMI block cipher.

- Four different hardware architectures that perform the KASUMI algorithm, one of them reaching the highest throughput reported so far.

- The design of a high performance multicycle functional unit with a short critical path and the definition of extensions to the MIPS32 instruction set that exploits this component.

- An strategy to integrate the functional unit for block ciphering into the microarchitecture of a MIPS-based processor core. It is possible to perform a similar procedure to extend other RISC processors, Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) processors or Digital Signal Processors (DSP).

There is still room for experimentation and some interesting ideas are worth to be considered as future activities. This is a list of such pending tasks:

- Integration of functional units to optimize other processes required by UMTS mobile stations or RNCs.

- Experimentation with a different core with a different pipeline organization and instruction set, e.g. ARC™700, Xtensa and LEON2.

- Determination of how the extended processor core can interact with other components within the mobile station and the RNC to design a complete system having the extended core as one of its main processing units.

- To modify an existing compiler for a general purpose programming language, e.g. C, that generates MIPS32 instructions so it supports the instructions defined in this dissertation. A first step towards this goal is to include support for the extended instructions in an assembler.
7.1 Productivity

Through the different phases of this project the preliminary results obtained were reported in a number of research papers submitted to technical conferences and other documents [9, 10, 11, 12].
Appendix A

Software implementation of \textit{f8} and \textit{f9}

This appendix presents two assembly language programs, written using the extensions to the MIPS instruction set described in chapter 6, that implement the \textit{f8} confidentiality algorithm and the \textit{f9} integrity algorithm. The first program encodes the third test set specified in [6] for \textit{f8} and the second program the first test set specified for \textit{f9}. The following sections provide information about the tests, block diagrams illustrating the processes performed, identical to those in figures 2.8 and 2.6, and the assembly language that implement the tests.

A.1 Implementation of the Test Set 3 for \textit{f8}

This test contains three instances of the KASUMI block cipher. The test is fully specified by the information provided by table A.1: the values for the algorithm’s parameters, the confidentiality key, the plaintext stream intended to encrypt and the input and output of each KASUMI block. Figure A.1 illustrates the structure of the algorithm for this particular test.

The following program carries out the operations required for the test. For the sake of simplicity the algorithm’s parameters are preloaded in the integer register file and the program only computes the keystream. Therefore, the code presented
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Key = 5ACB1D644C0D51204EA5F1451010D852
Count = FA556B26
Bearer = 03
Direction = 1
Length = 128 bits
Plaintext = AD9C441F890B38C4 57A49D421407E8

Initial A = FA556B261C000000
Key issued = 0F9E4831195804751BF0A41045458D07
Modified A = 3E5A6D0A3D1C82A5
Key now = 5ACB1D644C0D51204EA5F1451010D852

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLKCNT</th>
<th>KASUMI input</th>
<th>Keystream</th>
<th>end/dec data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3E5A6D0A3D1C82A5</td>
<td>365568B78ACD43EC</td>
<td>9BC92CA803C67B28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>080F05BDB7D1C148</td>
<td>F6BED6AC4E0BCD5F</td>
<td>A11A4BEE5A0C25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.1: Test Set 3 for the $f_8$ algorithm.

starts by transferring the initial plaintext block and the modified confidentiality key to the extended register file, then the three blocks are performed. In the listing each assembly instruction is followed by the corresponding machine instruction and its representation in hexadecimal form.

Values in the register file:

COUNT||BEARER||DIRECTION||0...0:
$1 : 111110100101010101101100100110 0xfa556b26
$2 : 00011100000000000000000000000000 0x1c000000

CK:
$3 : 010110101101100110011011101100100 0x5acb1d64
$4 : 010011000000110101001001000000 0x4c0d5120
$5 : 0100111101010111110110011001010 0x4ea5f145
$6 : 0001000000010011000101000100100 0x1010d852

BLKCNT:
$7 : XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

KM:
$10 : 010101010101010110101101010 0x55555555

.text
; Sets the initial plaintext block to COUNT||BEARER||DIRECTION||0...0
kxor1 k0, $1, $0 0000000000100000000000000000000100 0x0020000a
kxor1 k1, $2, $0 0000000000100000000000000000000100 0x00400080
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Figure A.1: A block diagram of the f8 algorithm for the Test Set 3.

```plaintext
; Modifies the initial cipher key (CK XOR KM)
  kxor1 k2, $3, $10 00000000011010100001000000001010 0x006a100a
  kxor1 k3, $4, $10 00000000100010100001100000001010 0x008a180a
  kxor1 k4, $5, $10 00000000101010100010000000001010 0x00aa200a
  kxor1 k5, $6, $10 00000000110010100010100000001010 0x00ca280a

; Performs the first KASUMI process
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; Restores the cipher key CK
  kxor2 k2, $10, k3 00000001010000110001000000001011 0x0143100b
  kxor2 k3, $10, k4 00000001010001000001100000001011 0x0144180b
  kxor2 k4, $10, k5 00000001010001010010100000001011 0x0145280b

; Sets BLKCNT = 1
  xori $7, $0, 1 00111000000001110000000000000001 0x38070001

; Restores the cipher key CK (cont.)
  kxor2 k3, $10, k4 000000001010000010001000000000101 0x0144180b
  kxor2 k4, $10, k5 000000001010000010001000000000101 0x0144200b
  kxor2 k5, $10, k6 000000001010000010001000000000101 0x0145280b

; Saves the ciphertext block computed in the integer register file
  kxor3 $1, $0, k0 0000000000000000000000000000000010 0x00000832
  kxor3 $2, $7, k1 0000000000000000000000000000000010 0x00e11032
```
APPENDIX A. SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION OF F8 AND F9

; Performs the second KASUMI process
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; no-operations
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026

; XORs the output of the previous KASUMI process with the value BLKCNT = 1
kxor2 k0, $1, k0 00000000000000000000000000001011 0x0020000b
kxor2 k1, $2, k1 00000000000000000000000000001011 0x0041080b

; Performs the third KASUMI process
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

Figure A.2 illustrates a simplified timing diagram of the extended processor executing the previous program, captured during simulation from a VHDL waveform analyzer. The diagram is split into four sections of 1050 ns each. The signals shown are the inputs to the ID stage corresponding to the instruction to decode (In_Instr) and the value of the program counter (In_IP), the overall clock and reset signals, and the subregisters in the ID/EX pipeline register that provide the K1 module with a plaintext block (l0 and r0).

The first instruction enters ID at 100 ns from start and then a new one enters every 100 ns. The l0 and r0 registers are updated one clock cycle before registers 0 and 1 in the extended register file are due to the action of the forwarding unit. Notice this effect at 6150 ns in figure A.2(f), where the l0 and r0 pipeline registers hold the correct output of the last KASUMI block before it is actually written into the extended register file, which occurs at 6250 ns.
Figure A.2: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 3 for \( f_8 \).
Figure A.2: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 3 for f8. (cont.)
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Figure A.2: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 3 for F8. (cont.)
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Key = 2BD6459F82C5B300952C49104881FF48
Count = 38A6F056
Fresh = 05D2EC49
Direction = 0
Length = 189 bits
Message = 6B227737296F393C 8079353EDC87E2E8 05D2EC49A4F2D8E0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Kasumi input</th>
<th>Kasumi Output</th>
<th>Accumulated XOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38A6F05605D2EC49</td>
<td>38A6F05605D2EC49</td>
<td>89E0A6D036C17090</td>
<td>89E0A6D036C17090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B227737296F393C</td>
<td>E2C2D1E71FAE49AC</td>
<td>45C16C0142460205</td>
<td>CC21CAD174877295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8079353EDC87E2E8</td>
<td>C5B8593F9EC1E0ED</td>
<td>E24CFA7D8471E4DD</td>
<td>2E6D30ACF0F69648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05D2EC49A4F2D8E2</td>
<td>E79E163420833C3F</td>
<td>DFD3DCB9499275BA</td>
<td>F1BEEC15B964E3F2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Key: 817CEF35286F19AA3F86E3BAE22B55E2
final step: F1BEEC15B964E3F2 F63BD72C702EBC7A
MAC-I: F63BD72C

Table A.2: Test Set 1 for the f9 algorithm.

A.2 Implementation of the Test Set 1 for f9

This test is made up of five instances of the KASUMI block cipher. Table A.2 shows the information needed to carry it out, including the value for each parameter, the message for which the integrity will be verified, the integrity key and the input and output for each KASUMI block. Figure A.3 illustrates the structure of the algorithm for this test.

The assembly language program listed next assumes that its parameters, the message and the integrity key are available in the integer register file. The first action it performs is to transfer the integrity key and the first plaintext block to the extended register file to carry out the first instance of the KASUMI algorithm. Before carrying out the rest of the four KASUMI ciphering processes, the program modifies the first two registers in the extended register file using the previous instance’s output and the incoming 64-bit block. After performing the operations corresponding to the last instance of KASUMI, the MAC value is available in register 0 of the extended register file.

Values in the register file:
COUNT:
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Figure A.3: A block diagram of the $f_9$ algorithm for the Test Set 1.

$1 : 0011100010100111100001010110 0x38a6f056

FRESH:
$2 : 0000010111101001110010010001 0x05d2ec49

MESSAGE:
$3 : 011010111000100011101100100110 0x6b227737
$4 : 001010011111101100010110011100 0x296ff393c
$5 : 100000000001110010001111101 0x8079353e
$6 : 11011100100001111011001000000001 0xdce87e2e8
$7 : 0000010111101001110010010001 0x05d2ec49
$8 : 10100100111110110011001100000000 0xa4f2d8e0

IK:
$9 : 00101011101110110010010110011111 0x2bd6459f
$10 : 1000010110111010001111011001100000 0x82c5b300
$11 : 1001010101011101010010010001000000 0x952c4910
$12 : 0100010001000000111111111110100100 0x4881ff48

Accumulator:
$13 : XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
$14 : XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

KM:
$15 : 10101010101010101010101010101010 0xaaaaaaaa

.text
; Sets the initial plaintext block to COUNT||FRESH
kxor1 k0, $1, $0 000000000010000000000000000000001010 0x0020000a
kxor1 k1, $2, $0 00000000001000000000000000000001010 0x0040080a
; Sets the initial integrity key (IK)
  kxor1 k2, $9, $0 00000001001000000000001010 0x0120100a
  kxor1 k3, $10, $0 00000001010000000001000000001010 0x0140180a
  kxor1 k4, $11, $0 00000001010000000001000000001010 0x0160200a
  kxor1 k5, $12, $0 000000010110000000000000001010 0x0180280a

; Performs the first KASUMI process
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; no-operation
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the accumulator
  kxor3 $13, $0, k0 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x00006832
  kxor3 $14, $0, k1 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x00017032

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the first 64-bit long block of MESSAGE
  kxor2 k0, $3, k0 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x00006000b
  kxor2 k1, $4, k1 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x0001080b

; Performs the second KASUMI process
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; no operation
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026
  xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000100110 0x00000026

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the accumulator
  kxor3 $13, $13, k0 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x000a06832
  kxor3 $14, $14, k1 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x001c17032

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the next 64-bit long block of MESSAGE
  kxor2 k0, $5, k0 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x000a0000b
  kxor2 k1, $6, k1 00000000000000000000000000101001 0x000c1080b

; Performs the third KASUMI process
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
  k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
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k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; no operation
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the accumulator
kxor3 $13, $13, k0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
kxor3 $14, $14, k1 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the next 64-bit long block of MESSAGE
kxor2 k0, $7, k0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
kxor2 k1, $8, k1 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; Performs the fourth KASUMI process
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

; Modifies the integrity key
kxor2 k2, $15, k3 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; no-operation
xor $0, $0, $0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; Modifies the integrity key (cont.)
kxor2 k3, $15, k4 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
kxor2 k4, $15, k4 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
kxor2 k5, $15, k5 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; The result of the last KASUMI process is added to the next 64-bit long block of MESSAGE
kxor2 k0, $13, k0 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026
kxor2 k1, $14, k1 00000000000000000000000000000000 0x00000026

; Performs the fifth KASUMI process
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000
k2rnd 10110000000000000000000000000000 0xb0000000

Figure A.4 illustrates the timing diagram of the extended core executing the program listed previously. This diagram is longer than that in figure A.2 because it implements five instances of the KASUMI block cipher instead of three. However,
the two diagrams are rather similar and the conclusions mentioned for the diagram in figure A.2 are applicable to that in figure A.4 as well. Notice that the output of the last instance of KASUMI is ready at 10.35 $\mu s$ after the start of the execution.
Figure A.4: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 1 for $f_9$. 

(a) 

(b)
Figure A.4: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 1 for $f_9$. (cont.)
Figure A.4: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 1 for $f_9$. (cont.)
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Figure A.4: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 1 for F9. (cont.)
Figure A.4: Timing diagram of the extended core executing a program implementing the test set 1 for F9. (cont.)
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List of acronyms

1G  First generation cellular communications technology
2G  Second generation cellular communications technology
2.5G Advanced second generation cellular communications technology
3G  Third generation cellular communications technology
3GPP Third Generation Partnership Project

AMPS  Advanced Mobile Phone Services
ARIB  Association of Radio Industries and Businesses
ASIC  Application-Specific Integrated Circuit
ASIP  Application-Specific Instruction set Processor
AuC  Authentication Center
AV   Authentication Vector

BRAM  Block SelectRAM+
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CBC Cipher Block Chaining

CDMA Code-Division Multiple Access

CK Ciphering Key

CLB Configurable Logic Block

CN Core Network

CS Circuit-Switched

DES Data Encryption Standard

DLL Delay Lock Loop

DSP Digital Signal Processor

EDA Electronic Design Automation

EDGE Enhanced Data rates for Global Evolution

ESB Embedded System Block

ETSI European Telecommunications Standards Institute

EX Execution stage

FDD Frequency Division Duplex

FIFO First-in First-Out

FPGA Field Programmable Gate Array

FU Functional Unit
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GGSN  Gateway GPRS Support Node

GPRS  General Packet Radio Service

GRAN  Generic Radio Access Network

GSM  Global System for Mobile communications

HE  Home Environment

HLR  Home Location Register

HSCSD  High-Speed Circuit-Switched Data

ID  Instruction Decode stage

IF  Instruction fetch stage

IK  Integrity Key

IM  IP-Multimedia

IMSI  International Mobile Subscriber Identity

IMT-2000  International Mobile Telecommunications-2000

IOB  Input/Output Block

IP  a. Internet Protocol, b. Intellectual Property

ISA  Instruction Set Architecture

ITU  International Telecommunications Union

IV  Initialization Vector

Kbps  Kilobits per second
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**KEU** KASUMI Execution Unit

**KM** Key Modifier

**LC** Logic Cell

**LUT** Lookup Table

**MAC-I** Message Authentication Code for Integrity of signaling data

**Mbps** Megabits per second

**ME** Mobile Equipment

**MEM** Memory access stage

**MIPS** Microprocessor without Interlocked Pipeline Stages

**MMU** Memory Management Unit

**MSS** Mobile Satellite Service

**NMT** Nordic Mobile Technology

**OFB** Output Feedback

**PCI** Peripheral Component Interconnect

**PDC** Personal Digital Cellular

**PLMN** Public Land Mobile Network

**PS** Packet-Switched

**PSTN** Public Switched Telephone Networks
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PVN Private Virtual Network

QoS Quality of Service

RISC Reduced Instruction Set Computer

RNC Radio Network Controller

ROM Read-Only Memory

S-box Substitution box

SGSN Serving GPRS Support Node

SIM Subscriber Identity Module

SIMD Single Instruction Multiple Data

SN Serving Network

SPARC Scalable Processor Architecture

TDD Time Division Duplex

TACS Total Access Communications System

UIA UMTS Integrity Algorithm

UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunications System

UMTS AKA UMTS Authentication and Key Agreement

USIM UMTS Subscriber Identity Module

UTRA Universal Terrestrial Radio Access
**APPENDIX B. LIST OF ACRONYMS**

**UTRAN** UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network

**VHDL** Very High Speed Integrated Circuit Hardware Description Language

**VLIW** Very Long Instruction Word

**VLR** Visitor Location Register

**WB** Write back stage

**WCDMA** Wideband Code-Division Multiple Access

**XMAC-I** Message Authentication Code for Integrity of signaling data

**XST** Xilinx Synthesis Technology
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